from blen the ontcome of life is no more than three words "I was raw, became mature and was burnt."

"It is in fact a quotation from Rum! (with slight variations)."

"Kāhī seems to have remained in Gujarat from 940 A.H. to 956, A.H. for he addressed poems to the two Gujarat rulers, Sultān Bahādur Ghāzī (932-961 A.H.). He returned to Kābul and eulogized Humayun in a fine ode on the astrolabe and became a court-poet. In 961 A.H., he was put on the staff of Prince Akbar. He came down with the royal party to India. On the death of Humayun in 963 A.H., he wrote a threnchy: the last hemistich:-

"Humayon fell from the roof is a chronogram giving the cause and date of Humayan's death but neither the cause and the date is precisely correct; for Humayun fell not from a roof but down a flight of steps and died not in 962 but in 963. But whether correct or incorrect, original or imitative, this single hemistich made Kähi famous in a day. I Kāhi produced another famous and equally incorrect chronogram: شه کامران بکنیه نمر د "King Kamran died in the Ka'ba"-965 (really 964). In both chronograms the difference is of one year; that one was short and this one long. "Kāhī was in Banaras with Bahādur Khān (the brother of 'Ali Quli entitled the Khān Zamān, who defeated Hemu near Panipat in 964 and restored next to Bairam Khan, the Mughal dynasty for Shezāli of Mashhed, on invitation from the Deccan, was with Khān Zamān The two poets, Gazali and Kahi, The Khan brothers met. at Jaunpur. soon struck upon a friendship and a contemporary record of the graceful verses they exchanged when the genuineness of their friendship was questioned has been preserved in the Nafa'is-al-Ma'athir (Rare copy in Rampur State Library, p. 132b). Khan Zaman's victories eventually defeated him, for he was frequently in revolt, but, in view of his past services, Akbar continued to grant him pardon, until on 2 Jumāda I, 974, Khān Zamān proclaimed Hakim Emperor. In the battle at Fatehpur on 1st Zil Hajjah 974, the Khan brothers were annihilated.

"To serve rival masters is difficult, but even as a protege of Khān Zamān, Kāhī managed to retain his affiliations with the court of Akbar. In 971 Khān Mu'azzam, Akbar's mad uncle (who was subsequently imprisoned in Gwalior for killing his wife, and died in 971), had notwithstanding his lameness, come from Khayratābād in Ondh to visit the ailing Mullā Qāsim-i-Kāhī, whereupon the Mullā composed the following lyric extemporaneously and set it to music:—

⁽¹⁾ According to Nithari, the author of this chronogram was Khwja 'Abdal 'Alī. The Asiatic Society MS. of the Mudhakkir-i-Ahbāb gives the text but does not mention the name of the author.

یه عندلیب ندارد سرسخ کل سرخ مگرکه ممبر نهاد است بر دهن کل سرخ

Mulia Huzuri Kashghari related to Nthan that Ibrahim Mirza had sent his ghazal to Kashghar and Khwaja Mu'in wrote an initiative poem. The opening verses of both ghazals are cited (p. 466)

(25) Qāsim Kāhi (pp. 469-470)

Nithard notices him as a famous poet of long standing living outside Bukhara and extremely popular among Indian poets. He was an expert in music; one of his ghazals which he himself set to good and pleasing music is quoted:

"Wherever you go, I accompany you as a shadow; perhaps, in due course, you may slowly become kind and affectionate towards me"

From the last verse of the said ghazal, it is evident that this ghazal, was recited when he was in Kābul. He proudly called himself a Kābuli and had a fling at the large number of Persian poets who left their hearth and home for India in search of fortune:-

"O Kähi, you are a nightingale in the garden of Käbul; you are not a crow or a kite to flock to Hindustan."

To this we may quote in extenso what Dr. Hadi Ḥasan has written on the subject¹:-

"Sayyid Najm al Din Muḥammad Abu'i Qāsim-i-Kāhi was born circa 868 A.H. at Miān Kāl, a hilly tract between Samarqand and Bukhārā. In 813 A.H. he visited Jāmî in Harat. Then he went to Kābul, where he remained so long probably half a century, that he calls himself a Kābulī (already referred to by Nithārī). He remained a confirmed bachelor all his life. "The bride of the world is not a match for Kāhī, wherefore I have remained single all my life like Jesus."

"But he succumbed to the lure of visiting India. Kāhī was in Bakr (Sind) about 935 A.H. to meet the eminent mystic, Mīr Hāshim Kirmān Shāh Jahāngīr, the author of the Mazhar al Āthār, and improve his knowledge of mysticism.

"About 940 A.H. Kāhī was in Somnāth (Gujarat). At the gate of Somnāth, "he says," an ekderly Hindu was reciting this verse which I learned

⁽¹⁾ Dr. Hādī Ḥasan: Qāsim-i-Kāhi, (868-988 A.H.). 'His Life, Times and Work—reprint from the *Islamic Culture*, Hyderabad, July, 1953.

were engaged is given (p. 447). He is highly praised for his ghazals.¹ Three complets of a ghazal beginning with the opening line are given:-

Nithari includes him among young poets residing in India. According to Ethe', he is a calligrapher, chronogrammatic writer and a poet.

(23) Sahmi (pp. 458-459)

He was a young budding poet personally known to Nitharl as having migrated to India and joined the service of Bairam Khan (killed 968 A.H.). In a mushaira presided over by Bairam Khan, in which his verse

"The wine-bibers of the tavern are wonderfully inebriate persons; they would fain take this world and the next for one draught of wine" was the model for the poets to compose poems upon. Maulana Adhama and Qasim Arsalan also recited their poems. But Sahmi carried the palm when he recited his ghazal beginning with

"Those who distinguish the white from the black regard the line of thy cheek as a beautiful verse (of the Holy Qur'an)". Thereafter Sahmi gained wide and winning influence.

(24) Khwāja Mu'in (pp. 464-466)

He descended from the saintly family of the Khwaja-i-Aḥrār, So the kings and rulers of the land venerated him and treated him generously. He migrated to India where the Indian rulers and nobles did not lack behind others in bestowing honours on him. On a certain occasion he betook himself to Käshghar, where its ruler, 'Abd al Rashid Khān (939-971), the dedicatee of Mīrzā Haidar, the author of the famous Tārikh-i-Rashīdī (killed 958 A.H.), gave him the kind and amount of patronage he sought for. The Khwāja was well versed in several branches of learning. He was an adept in music. The Sultān wrote poems under the penname Rashīdī. One of his ghazals quoted by Nithārī was set to music by Khwāja Mu'in. It begins as follows:-

⁽¹⁾ A rare copy of his Diwan is available in Government Oriental Manuscripts Library, Madras.

⁽²⁾ I.O.L., 724-726, 708.

⁽³⁾ A rare copy of his d'iwan is available in Government Oriental Library, Madras.

Majma' al Fudală MS. p. 138; Mudhakkir-i-Abbāb p. 295 No. 235 (Berlin MS. copy); Tabaqāt-i-Akbarī, Vol. II p. 497; Ātashkada, No. 724; Khulāsat al Alkār, No. 442; Storey Vol. I, p. 373; Ājai Akbarī p. 513; Muntakhab al Tawārīkh, Vol. III, p. 328; Satīna-i-Khushgū, No. 310; Makhzan al Gharā'ib, No. 237; Ethe' 1446,

(3) Vide my printed edition, pp. 452-457.

I may add that Dr. Abidi Head of the Department of Persian, Delhi University, was working upon Mushfiqi. (Vide his article on Mushfiqi contributed to the First All-India Muslim Studies Conference at Hyderabad, 1964).

(21) Niyāzi (p. 456)

Nithārī writes about him from personal knowledge as the son of Maulānā Sayyid 'Alī Qādī, who left for Kabul and India in his prime of youth and was living there. Only one complet is cited. There was another Niyāzī of Badakshān under Akbar.

(22) Qāsim Arsalān (pp. 456-458)

He was the son of Arsalān Mashhadī, who was known for his bohemianism. During his early education, he resided with our author. He did not show much progress due to indolence. He became a scribe known for his quick penmanship combined with his professional skill and reliability. He was the friend of Darwesh Raushauger (the oilmonger), who wrote a touching elegy on the death of Jāmī (898 A.H.) beginning with:—

He was a ready wit and a satirist. His satire on Mashhadis is quoted:-

"Due to disturbance of peace, an old gnostic ran away from his native city, Mashhad and took shelter in Yemen. There he saw his own face in a running brook and a mirror lest he should see the face of another Mashhadi (which he regarded as an evil omen)." (p. 456).

Instance of ready repartee in which both this poet and our author

⁽r) Ethe', I.O.L. 724-726, 591 × 1503.

(20) Kamil al Din Mushfiqi (pp. 452-457)

Nithari has included him among young poets personally known to him living outside Bukhara (the exact place where he migrated is not given). Even in his youth, he acquired fame as a powerful poet, who wrote fine quidas and effective ghazals. He made a mark as a satirist.

Most of his satirical performances are astonishingly irreproducible. His house was the resort of poets. He practised astrology and geomancy also. He attained the enviable position of the Royal Librarian (Kitābdār). One full ghazal with the opening verse:

a ruba'l and two other verses are quoted. My friend, Prof. Pohand 'Abd al Hayy Habibi of Anjumani Tarikh, Kabul, has given further particulars of this poet as follows:-1 "He was originally from Merv. He resided in Bukhārā and studied under Maulānā Kaukabi (already noticed in the Mudhakkir-i-Ahbāb as a famous musician and a musicologist (pp. 261-266). After 965 A.H. he held the post of Kitābdār in Samarqand under Sultān Sa'id Khān (975-980 A.H.). In 980 A.H. he reached Samarquid to serve Sultan Jawanmard 'Ali Khan (980-986 A.H.), the younger brother of Sultan Sa'id Khān. He wrote one diwan of ghazals and qaşaid and a versified book called Gulistan-i-Iram. Due to internecine quarrels among the nobles, he left Samarqand in 986 A.H. and reached Lahore in 987 A.H. As he could not get the audience of Emperor Akbar, he returned from India and got access to 'Abdallah Khan, the ruler of Transoxiana, who conferred on him the poet-laureateship. He wrote the Jahannama, a chronicle of 'Abd Allah According to Storey, he was born in 945 in Bukhārā. He went to Khān. India twice during Akbar's time. On return from India he died in 994 A.H. in Bukhārā. His dīwān of ghazals was compiled in 973 A.H. Its copy is available in the India Office Library, London.* His second diwan was completed in 983 or 985. It is available in the Bodleian Library, Oxford, and in the Asiatic Society, Calcutta. Simanov refers to one more diwan of qaṣā'id and chronograms." In the foot-note to his article, Prof. Ḥabibī gives the following bibliography:

⁽I) Vide fn. of his article on Mudhakkir-i-Ahbāb-i-Nithāri in the Aryana, Kabul, Vol. XIX, No. ii, Awwali Qaus, 1340, pp. 1-12, as well as in the Tehran University Publications, No. 774, (1341) Vol. II, Notices of Manuscripts 1340-1341 under the supervision of Md. Taqī Dānish Puzhōb and Iraj Afshār—contents of Vol. II, Item 3. Ḥabībi, 'Abdul Ḥayy: Mudhakkir-i-Aḥbāb of Khwāja Nithāri Bukhāri, pp. 30-42.

⁽²⁾ Ethe', I.O.L., 1440.

(19) Khwāja Husain Mervi (pp. 447-450)

He was personally known to our author as a young poet residing in India. He was the son of khwaja Mir Dost Diwan. He had his higher education in Bukhara. He was a good nastaliq writer. He had already acquired fame as a poet when he suddenly thought of India as the place where his personality would flower out. Humayun gave him a prominent place in his court. He was made a Sadr to which the poet refers thus:
(p. 448)

شد از التفات شمهنشاه عادل حسين ابن منصور صدرا فاخبل
On his fatai slip in 963 A.H. the poet wrote a very touching elegy beginning with: (p. 103).

He was so overpowered with grief that he went on the Haj pilgrimage and returned to India after a long sojourn. According to the Nafā'is al Ma'āthir, his return to India was some time after 974 A.H. (date of completion of the book). If this statement is accepted as true, we have the ticklish problem of an anachronism in the text. An elegant ghazal containing praises of the Emperor Akbar is cited (pp. 448-449). He was a powerful qaṣīda writer also. He composed one long qaṣīda of hundred couplets in which cardinal numbers from one to hundred are used appropriately. He called this poetical performance "Asr-i-Kārnāma" and sent it to Bukhārā obviously as a challenge to the Bukhārā poets. Nithārī returned the compliment immediately with an imitative poem of the same length and rhyme. Husain Mervī begins this long 'obligatory' poem thus:

and Nithārī replies as follows:

which is palpably a tame affair. This innovation of Husain Mervi provoked other poets especially under Akbar to write such poems in its wake. One such poem is by Nür al Din Tarkhän², a court-poet of Akbar.

⁽¹⁾ The same ghazal is reproduced in the Nafa'is al Ma'āthir Aligarh MS.

⁽²⁾ Vide Dīwān-i-Tarkhān. Government Oriental Manuscripts Library, Madras.

requited. So he migrated to India, where he died in his old age. He is mentioned as a writer of both elegant prose and exquisite poetry. The following is quoted:—

(15) Jānī Tīmbān (pp. 376-377)

He was a hotelier by profession, a good mixer and a genial extrovert. He migrated to India where he died in the prime of his youth. A fine ruba'l is quoted:—

(16) Khwāja Mīrakā (pp. 409-410)

He was the son of Hāfiz Kaiān (already noticed as the famous reciter of the Holy Qur'ān and teacher of the art of reciting the Holy Qur'ān in Samarqand (pp. 301-302). He was a Şadr in the service of Suyunich Muḥammad Sultān. Due to some unfavourable circumstances, he left for India with the following verse in his lips:-

He was well advanced in years and alive in 974 A.H.

(17) Mir Fahmi (pp. 412)

He was a Sayyid related to Amir Abu'l Baqa, the spiritual director of 'Abd al 'Azīz Khān, whose necrology is given (vide p. 74). He settled in Qandahār long time ago. He was quite old and alive in 974 A.H. Only one verse is cited by the author.

(18) Muhammad Amin Bey (pp. 412-413)

He was the eldest son of Amir Qambar 'Alī Qushjī, one of the powerful nobles under Shaibānī Khān and 'Ubaid Allāh Khān, who died in the battle of Jām. He attained high positions in life. He was himself an enlightened patron of the learned and the pious. Though he wrote charming poems in different verse-forms, his specialised field was riddles. One of his rubā'is is cited. As he was highly ambitious, he migrated to India where kings and nobles extended their generous patronage to him and treated him with utmost consideration. The author, who knew him well, prays for his further progress. He was well advanced in years.

The latter has received more encomiums as a post than the former. Ethe' has mentioned him in his catalogue.

(13) Khwāja-i-Kābuli (pp. 269-271)

He had his higher education in Bukhārā, where he was noted for his fine physical features and suave manners. Soon he acquired fame as a humourist. An example of his humour is cited. One matla' and a masterly ghazal beginning with the opening line are quoted.

Later on he became a cynic, and like Diogenes in the Tub, he retired from public gaze. When his old friend could not recognise him, he recited an impromptu verse:

"So much dust of strangeness has settled on my face that it is no wonder if none makes me out." He died young and was buried in India "unwept, unhonoured and unsung."

Now follows accounts of ten poets in scriatim (Nos. 14-23) who left for India. They were personally known to the author.

(14) Amīr Nizam al Dīn Muzaffar (pp. 339-340)

He was a noble of the court of Ya'qüb Shāh of the Aq-qoyunlū dynasty (883-896 A.H.). This Sultān was given a poisoned drink by his own mother so that her other son, Yūsuf, might succeed and rule for some time. Accidentally the left over was gulped by both the conspiring mother and the aspirant for the throne with the result that the drink washed all the three out of existence (p. 137). The Sultān was an enlightened person, a good poet (pp. 131-133) and the patron of (i) the famous traditionist, Khwāja Maulānā b. Rūzbhān Iṣfahānī and (2) of Bannā'ī (pp. 136-138), who wrote an elegy on the tragic death of the Sultān, beginning with:-

and a book entitled Bagh-i-Iram containing a versified account of the dead king, (pp. 136). After the death of this Sultan, the said Amir went over to 'Ubaid Allah Khan, whom he served with distinction. On the occasion of the conquest of Khwarizm, he wrote a poem in which he offered to help him with "hazarasp" (thousand horses), provided the Khan gave him the fortress of Hazarasp. Probably his services, were not properly

⁽¹⁾ Hamdam Küka—Ethe'.: I.O.L. 724-726, 416.

Perhaps this is other than the Madrasah which he built in Agra, and wherein he was buried in 940 A.H. It may be added that he first translated into Persian the Wāqi'āt-i-Bāburī (The Memoirs of Babar). (Its second translation was by 'Abd al Raḥīm Khān Khānān). He is said to have written a history of the conquest of India, with an account of its wonders.¹

(9) Bairam Khān (pp. 248-250)

Next to the Emperor Humayun, he occupied the highest position in the realm. Nithari states that he was an Amir al Umara. Obviously this refers to his title, Khan-i-Khanan. All those who came in contact with him spoke very highly of him, especially as an able administrator and a conqueror. He was himself a poet of no mean order and a generous patron of scholars.

Due to the evil designs of the jealous, Akbar dismissed him. He was killed on his way to the Haj pilgrimage. The date of his assassination, 968/1560, is not recorded. Only one Persian verse and a Turki ghazal are quoted.²

(10) 'Alī Beg (pp. 257-258)

He was the confidant of Babur. Only one verse is cited, which is in a way interesting:-

"I said that my love of you has rendered my existence into non-existence to which my beloved replied: your existence and non-existence are alike (to me)."

(II) Maḥram Kūkā (p. 258) and (I2) Hamdam Kūkā (p. 258)

They were both foster-brothers and confidents of Kamran Padishah, who died on his way to the Haj in 964 A.H. One verse of each is cited.

⁽¹⁾ Rieu: Catalogue of Persian Manuscripts in the British Museum p. 926b; Badāoni, Muntakhab al Tawārīkh, Vol. I, pp. 341 and 471.

⁽²⁾ Vide Nafais al Ma'athir, (composed pp. 973-982) Aligarh MSS. f. 308-33a.

afterwards changed to Jamaii. He acquired fame as a poet first under Sultan Sikandar b. Bahiul Lodi (1489-1517) and subsequently gained the regard of Bahur. He wrote several quandaha in praise of Bahur and Humayun. He spent a part of his life in distant travels to Mecca, Medina, Yemen, Syria, 'Iraq, Iran, Rum, Maghrib, etc., during which he became acquainted with Jami and Dawwani and died in Delhi in 942 A.H. He left a Diwan and a Mathravi called Mihr wa Mah. His son, Shaikh Gada'i, was raised to the office of Şadr by Akbar.

(8) Shaikh Zain (pp. 244-246)

According to Nithāri, he was a relative of Shaikh Abu'l Wajd, a descendant of Shaikh Zain al Din Khwāfi (pp. 243-244). Both Abu'l Wajd and Shaikh Zain enjoyed the patronage of Bābur. According to the appendix to the Memoirs of Babur, (Pavet de Courteille's French translation, Vol. II, p. 463), he is the grandson of his namesake, the famous saint, Shaikh Zain al Din, who died in the plague in Herat in 838 (see Matla al Sa'dain), held the office of Ṣadat* in the reign of Bābur (Rieu) and als under Humāyūn (Nithārī, p. 245 fn.) He was known as an elegant poet. He was bold and witty. Once Bābur asked particulars of his age to which he had the temerity to reply "I am now 40 years old; five years ago, I was 40 and two years hence I will be 40." He was good at chronograms. When the divine, Mir Muḥammad Yūsuf, offered to deliver lectures in Harī (Harat) for two nights in a week, Shaikh Zain wrote a wonderful chronogram:—

Both شبه شبه على على give the date 913 A.H. He settled down in India and built a beautiful house which was praised by the proud owner and the contemporary poet, Shihāb al Din Mu'ammā'ī respectively thus:-

⁽¹⁾ Bazmi Taimuriyya, p. 22 under poets who flourished under Bābar.

⁽²⁾ Rieu, Catalogue of Persian Manuscripts in the British Museum. Vol. I, p. 354a-b.

⁽³⁾ For the nature of the office of Şadr vide Blochmann's Āin-i-Akbati, p. 272; translation of the Āin-i-Akbari, p. 268.

Humayun enjoyed the humour and rewarded him. Our author does not record the date of his death.

Mir Khwand's chronogram on his death شبهاب الثاقب gives the date 942

(5) Fādsi Andijānī (pp. 203-204)

He was a reputed scholar, who was held in much esteem by the rulers. Scholars of his age always sought for his learned company. He was an authority on poetics. Only one verse is cited. He migrated to India and died there.

(6) Shaikh Jamül (pp. 221)

Nithari notices him in four lines as one of the famous poets and quotes only one verse as specimen of his poetry. In Pertsch's Berlin Catalogue, he is mentioned as Shaikh Jamali Hindi. In the second Aligarh MS, his name is retained as Shaikh Jamal but the text is entirely different. The information contained therein is as follows:

"He is one of the poets of India. The rulers of that country have shown him utmost regard, behaved with him most respectfully and considered him as a saint. Once the king paid him a visit. At once the Shaikh jumped from the balcony to receive him² but he remained unhart. The king regarded it as a miracle and revered him the more."

(7) Jamāl Hindi (pp. 222-223)

Nithārī disposes him of in four line, as follows:— He was well advanced in years and a recognised traveller of the mystic path. One of his verses is cited. All the manuscripts agree as regards the name and the text. Probably Shark Jamāl (Shaik Jamāli Hindi of Berlin Manuscript) and Jamāl Hindi are one and the same person, namely, Hamīd Fadi Allāh Khān, known as Darwesh Mullā or Shaik Jamāli of Delhi (died 942 A.H.), author of the famous biography of the saints of the Chishti order, namely Siyar al 'Arnin, (composed in the reign of Humāyun during the period 937-947 and printed several times). It is a source book of the Akhbār al Akhyār of 'Abd al Haq Dehlavi and of Ferishta. The confusion in the mind of Nithārī may be due to the fact that he had more than one name and one takhallus. His original name was Jalāl Khān, later Shaiki Jamālī Kambu, etc. He adopted first the pen-name Jalāli, which he

خدمت الملوك تصف السلوك

⁽¹⁾ Muntakhab al Tawārikh, Vol. I, p. 432.

⁽²⁾ He belonged to the Chishti order which insisted upon co-operation with the rulers. One of their maxims is

[&]quot;Service to kings is half spiritualism."

He died some time before 974/AH/1566.

(3) Khwājā Kalān Beg (pp. 198-201)1

He was an Amir and a confident of Babur, who sent him out of India for the governance of Ghazna and Kabul. This provoked him to indite the following lines since become proverbial:-

اگر بخیروسلامت کذر زسند کنم سیاه روی شوم کر هوای هند کنم and occasioned ready repartee in Turki between him and Bābur (pp. 199-201).

(4) Shihāb al Dīn Mu'ammā'i (pp. 202-203)

Nithard mentions him as a renowned scholar, a great writer of riddles in prose and poetry and a poet with ideas, e.g.:

He wr te under the pen-name, "Ḥaqīrī." According to our author, he sought patronage of Emperor Humāyūn. But, according to Badaoni, he along with Mir Khwand, the author of the Habibal Siyar, and Mirzā Ibrahīm Qānūnī received recognition and patronage of Bābur in 936 A.H., when the latter celebrated his recovery from a severe illness, contracted during his expedition to Gwalior. Nithārī has given us an interesting piece of information about Palam (now an international air-port near Delhi). The pargana of Pālam, famous for its wood, was gifted to the poet by Humāyūn. One Janāb Muḥammad Falgharī made vexatious demands of wood on the poet who, in disgust, lampooned him as follows:-

⁽¹⁾ Kalānbeg (Kalān Khwājāh) i.e., (Amīr) Nizām of Andijān, an occasional poet, pp. 724-726, 1515, q. ib 1516 (Ethe': Catalogue of Persian Manuscripts in the India Office Library, London).

⁽²⁾ Bazmi Ţaimuriyya (Urdu) pp. 22-23. For specimens of his poems vide Badāonī: Muntakhab al Tawārikh, Vol. I, p. 342.

⁽³⁾ Muntakhab al Tawarikh, Vol. I, p. 210.

⁽⁴⁾ Pālam is described in the Majma' al Gharāib of Sulţān Muḥammad b. Darwēsh al Mughnī al Balkhī, a book of geography and travel written in 940 A.H.

fortress. An arrow from the enemy's camp killed him accidentally. His body was taken to Bukhārā where he was buried besides Khwaja-i-Ahrār.

(2) Khwāja Ayyūb (pp. 188-193)

His father, Khwāja Abu'l Barakah, the Qādi of Samarqand, was a poet and a great authority on law. Nawā'i apbraided him for his feloary. We are indebted to Nithārī for quoting one charming ghazal by him under the pen-name, Firāqī, which was popular in his days.\(^1\) Ayyūb inherited some of the qualities of his father. He was equally humorous and lax in his morals. His ugly physical features and mannerisms provoked laughter. He migrated to India. His talents merited Humāyūn's attention. He was sent on an important mission to Gujarāt, whose praises were sung earlier by Maulānā Shahīdī\(^2\) of Qum, the poet-laureate of Sultān Ya'qūb (883-896 A.H.), who settled and died in Gujarat in 935 A.H. The following poem cited by Nithārī may be considered as one of the earliest Persian poems on Gujarāt:-

There he got involved with the beauties of Gujarāt and forgot his mission:

(pp. 191)
After the conquest of Gujarāt, Humāyūn incarcerated him for dereliction of duty and later on pardoned him. But, according to Maulānā 'Ālim Kābulī, the King of Gujarāt imprisoned him. He wrote fine poems under the penname, 'Ayyūb.' One of his exquisite ghazals is quoted beginning with

⁽¹⁾ Vide Nafa'isal Ma'athir, Bazmi Taimuriyya (Urdu) p. 44 with certain variations in the text.

⁽²⁾ Tuḥfai Sāmi, p. 106; Haft Iqlim (MS); Riyāzushshu'arā, Taqi Kāshi Oudh Catalogue, p. 21; his diwān is available in the British Museum Asafia Library, Hyderabad, and Osmania University Library Hyderabad.

Bāb III Faşl IV Personally met-young and outside Bukbārā. (comprising to poets) (pp. 447~459) Not personally met-old-alive in Bukhārā. Bāb IV Faşl (comprising 4 poets) (pp. 460-463) II Not personally met—alive outside Bukhārā. Bāb IV Fașt (pp. 464-478) (comprising 15 poets) Bāb IV Faşl III Not personally met-young-alive in Bukhārā. (pp. 478-480) (comprising 5 poets) Not personally met-young-alive outside Bukhārā. Bāb IV Faşl IV (pp. 481-492) (comprising 12 poets) Khātima An epilogue dealing with his forbears, grandfathers, father, uncles, brothers and other relatives. (comprising 8 poets) (pp. 492-517) The book ends with a long poem of Nithari (pp. 517-520).

In all he has discussed 485 poets, most of whom were his contemporaries, who lived in Māwarā al Nahr (Transoxiana), Balkh, Persia, and other neighbouring countries including Kāshghar and India. Invariably he gives specimens of their poetry.

CRITICAL APPRECIATION

Mudhakkir-i-Ahbāb is also important for a proper study of Indo-Persian literature. Consequent upon religious persecutions, ban on the freedom of expression of poets and unsettled social conditions and virtually because of the generous patronage of the early Mughal rulers and their potentates, a good number of Persian poets of Central Asia, as well as of Irān, trekked to India in search of "pastures new." Twenty eight such poets are noticed in the Mudhakkir-i-Ahbāb as follows:-

(1) Amir Nizām al Din Abu'l Baqā (pp. 171-174)

The author pays glowing tributes in prose and poetry to this learned Sayyid. In disgust he left Samarqand for India, which he enriched with his vast erudition:-

غانبا از اکابر سمرقند خاطر عاطرش را ملالی پیدا شده عنانب عزیمت را مجانب هند مصروف کردا نیده و سواد آن بلاد را بانوار تجوم علوم قرخنده رسوم رسانیده (p. 172)

He was held in high esteem by Emperor Humāyūn. He, even, accompanied the royal exile to Sind. The fugitive king sent him on a mission to negotiate talks with the intransigent Sindhis, who had taken refuge in a

```
fașls.
                    Chingiz Khānī Sultans who are dead (Shaibanids).
          Fasi
                 I
Ваъ
                                                   (comprising 5 poets)2
                    (pp. 14-80)
                    Chingiz Khānī Sultans (Shaibanids) who are alive.
                IJ
          Fașl
Bāb
                                                    (comprising 4 poets)
                    (pp. 81–88)
                                                      (Timurids)
                    Chaghatai Sultans who are dead.
      П
          Fașl
Bāb
                                                    (comprising 6 poets)
                     (pp. 89~123)
                    Chaghatai Sultans (Timurids) who are alive.
          Fasl
                II
      Π
Bāb
                                                    (comprising 2 poets)
                    (pp. 124-127)
    The Magalah is followed by four babs, each subdivided into four fasts.
                     Poets not personally known to the author died in old
       I Faşl
Bab
                     age and buried in Bukhārā. (pp. 127-169)
                                                   (comprising 18 poets)
                     Not personally met-died in old age and buried outside
          ¥aş]
                 II
 Bāb
                     Bukbārā. (pp. 169-230)
                                                    (comprising 43 poets)
                    Not personally met-died young and buried in Bukhā-
           Fasl III
 Bāb
                                                     (comprising 7 poets)
                           (pp. 230-235)
                     Ţā.
                     Not personally met-died young and buried outside
           Fași lV
 Bāb
                                (pp. 235-275)
                      Bukhārā.
                                                    (comprising 31 poets)
                      Met personally-died in old age and buried in Bukhāra.
       \mathbf{H}
 Bāb
           Faşl
                                                    (comprising 28 poets)
                      (pp. 276-319)
                      Met personally-died in old age and buried outside
  Bāb
       II
                  11
           ₩aş}
                                (pp. 319-351)
                      Bukhārā.
                                                    (comprising 20 poets)
                      Personally met-died young and buried in Bukhara.
  Bab II
           Fasi III
                                                      (comprising 8 poets)
                      (pp. 352-363)
                      Personally met -died young and buried outside Bukhā-
       \mathbf{II}
            Faşl IV
  Bāb
                                                      (comprising 9 poets)
                      1ā. (pp. 363-379)
                      Personally met-old age-alive in Bukhārā.
  Bab III
            Faşl
                                                     (comprising 17 poets)
                      (pp. 379~404)
                      Personally met-old age alive outside Bukhārā.
  Bāb III
            Fasl
                                                     (comprising 12 poets)
                       (pp. 404-417)
            Faşl III Personally met-young and alive in Bukhārā.
   Bāb III
                                                     (comprising 21 poets)
                       (pp. 418-446)
```

One Magalah relating to kings in two babs, each subdivided into two

⁽I) Enumeration of poets is by the editor and reference to pages is from the book edited.

means "Remembrancer of friends." Here it is a book "Bright with names that men remember" (Eton: An Ode by Swineburne, 1837–1909). At the same time it is a chronogram (974=1565 for completion of the work).

Immediately on its appearance, Amir Muhammad Badi' al Husaini al Qădi, famous son of the still more famous father, Qădi Ikhtiyâri² (noticed by Nithāri, pp. 407-8) paid glowing tributes to this work by writing a quatrain on the reverse of the book.

=974/1566 is another chronogram for the completion of the book.

In the matter of arrangement of topics, it is modelled on 'Alí Shīr Nawā'is Majālis al Nafā'is, which, in turn, is on the pattern of Daulatshāh's Tadhkirat al Shu'arā. The basis of division of topics in the Mudhakkir-i-Ahbāb is the same as that of the Majālis al Nafāi's, namely, the poet was personally known or not and the fact that the poet was dead or alive, with some more points of division added by the author, whether young or old; if alive, residing in or out of Bukhārā; if dead, buried in Bukhārā or outside.

The scheme of the book is as follows:-

⁽¹⁾ مذكر (A) Muzakkir=remembrancer, vide p. 1153, F. Johnson, Dictionary of Persian, Arabic and English. London, 1852.

مذکر کحدث یاد دهنده ۱۹۹۷ جاد ششم فرهنگ آنند راج مجد یادشاء المتخلص به شاد و یجا نگری (از علاقهٔ سایق مدراس حالا در آندهر ا پردیش)کتابخانهٔ خیام طهران ۱۳۰۰ هجری خورشیدی

⁽²⁾ Vide Qāḍī Ikhtiyār, the chief judge of Harāt. Majālis al Nafā'is, ed. A.A. Hikmat, pp. 95 and 271. He was the author of many books in prose and poetry notably, Mukhtār al Ikhtiyār, the vade macum of the judges. According to Nithārī, Shaibānī Khān prepared a, Turkish Reader for him called Nisāb-i-Turkī (p. 23). Emperor Bābur had great admiration for him vide Şabbah al Din: Bazmi-Taimūriya (Urdu) p. 7. Delhi, 1948.

Khān' among Persian poets, though he was much hissed and grossly unjust in his treatment of them, especially Shaibāni Khān whom he ridiculed, whereas Nithāri exhibited his narrowmindedness and merely omitted their compeers, Shāh Ismā'il Şafawi,² poetically called "Khatā'i," Shāh Tahmāsp' and even the charming poet and biographer of contemporary poets, Prince Sām Mirzā,4 out of sheer ammosity.

Our author cherishes the hope that, on its completion, his work would meet the royal approbation of the de facto ruler, Abu'l Chazl 'Abd Allah Bahadur Khan, his second dedicatee, for whom he has used equally apt but longer titles than those used for Iskandar Bahadur Khan, as the occasion demanded, with greater emphasis upon his military prowess, e.g., his three major expeditions, the siege of Kirmuneh, the reconquest of Samarqand (chronogram) All is a possible of Bukhara) all recounted with much admiration (p. 12).

About nomenciature there is a German proverb, which says "Who knows the real name of the child." Nither has entitled his work, Mudhakkir-i-Ahbab for the following reasons:-

چوں اِن تذکرہ اِز ہر ہاپ مذکر احباب ہود نام و تاریخش مذکر احباب کردید۔

در واقع این عبید آفه باوجود آنکه طبع خوب و ذهن مرغوب داشت و در سخاوت بی مثل و در شجاعت بی بدل بود باز بدین اخلاق ذمیمه و اطوار مبادرت می نمود و باین همه شعر می فرمودند _ این مطلع از وست بیت _ دوست ساقی شد دلا حام محبت نوش کن بیت _ دوست ساقی شد دلا حام محبت نوش کن فیش و می نوش کن

(2) Vide Shāh Ismā'īl, Ibid., pp. 7-9.

(3) Vide Browne: 'Persian Literature in Modern Times,' p. 85. "Author of an autobiography suggested by Bābur's incomparable Memoirs far inferior to that most interesting and, amusing work."

(4) Vide Conclusion of the Tuhfai Sāmī ed. Waḥīd Dastgardī, p. 191.

حساصل عمر نثار ر. بساری کردم - شادم از زندگی خوش که کاری کردم ریاعی خون در جگرم ز لعل جان پر و رتست

تنسكي دلم زحلقهٔ كوهر تست

هر تار زکاکلت جدا فتنه کری است حاصل که تمام فتنه ها درسرتست

⁽¹⁾ Vide 'Uhaid Khan, Ibid., p. 20.

بعضى از اخوان و خلان با صفا به این بی بضاعت قلیل الاستطاعت امر نمودند که در چنین و قتی که حضرت و اهب العطیات و دافع البلیات دفع بلایا نموده، عامه براهٔ را در ظلال عاطفت و معدلت خواقین کامدار و سلاطین کامکار در طرفه حال وفارغ بال دارد ' جمعی از فضلا که بعد از تذکره مجالس النفائس امیر مذکور به ظهور آمده اند که اسامی سامی ایشان در تحت ضبط در آمده از روائح انفاس نفیسهٔ انشان شمهٔ به مشام جان طاابان و اصل کردد اله (P. 9)

He calles it the third tadhkira المركزة كالله , the first and the second being the Tadhkirat al-Shu'ara of Danlatshah Samarqandi composed in 892/1487 and dedicated to 'Ali Shir Nawa'i and the Majalis al Nafa'is of Nawa'i composed four years later in 896/149 and dedicated to Sultan Shah Husain of Harat (873-911/1469-1506) respectively. It may be observed that Nitharl mentions only the original Turkish version of the Majālis al Nafā'is, even though his first Persian translation entitled Laţā'if-nāma was done by Fakhrī Harāt in 927/1521 and the second Persian translation was rendered by Hakîm Shāh Muḥammad Qazwīnî in Constantinople during 927-929/1521-His silence about the Tuhfa-i-Sāmī (compiled in 957/1550) of Prince Sam Mirza, the son of Shah Isma'il Şafawi, (murdered in 984/1526), containing notices of 652 Persian poets, who flourished from the end of the ninth to the middle of the tenth century, more or less a continuation of Daulatshāh's Tadhkirat al Shu'arā and Nawā'i's Majālis al Nafāis, is intriguing. Either he is really ignorant of this fact or he commits the fallacy of suppressio veri. On the basis of some obvious phraseological resemblances between the Mudhakkiri-i-Ahbab and the Tuhfa-i-Sami, one may hazard the opinion that Nithaul was aware of the biographical performance of Prince Sam Mirza seventeen years before the completion of his All the same it is possible to trace the origin of these resemblances to a common source like section IV of the third volume of the Habib al Siyar compiled in 927-930/1521-24 by Ghiyath al Din b. Humam al Din Khwandmir (d. 941/1534-35), which contains notices of the learned people of the age. In all fairness, it must be said to the credit of Prince Sam Mirzā that he was generous enough to include in his biographical work such inveterate enemies like Shaibānī Khān² and 'Ubaid Allāh

⁽¹⁾ ed by A.A. Hikmat, Tehran 1323 Hijri Shamsi.

۷ide Shaibak Khān—Tuḥfai Sāmī ed Waḥīd Dastgardī pp. 19-20. در محلی که هرات مسخر شد این مطلع به نزد ار باب هرات فرستاد و چهل تو مسان کیکی که دو پست تو مسان تبریز پست خواهی شاه این شعر کرفته به بقیه اشعار را ازین معلوم نما:
تاضی و قاز قوزی و قیزو تمزکرك ای مهد مان شهر همری یار چه مزکرك

A CRITICAL APPRECIATION OF THE MUDHARRIR-I-ARBAB

Nithari's claim to posterity is his Tadhkira-i-Mudhakkir-i-Ahbab (chronogram 974/1566 for the completion of his work). The book begins with the usual doxology in ornate prose (Praise of God, Prophet Muhammad and his successors) (p. 1-2). Then there is a striking passage on the recognition of time factor and emergence of conditions favourable for the promotion of art and culture, generally after the death of the formidable 'Ubaid Allah Khan (940-946 A.H.), whose whole life was a struggle for retrieving the position of the Shaibanids immediately after the terrible defeat and ghastly murder of Shaibani Khan, the founder of the dynasty named after him, by Shāh Ismā'īl, the founder of the Şafawî dynasty, in the battle of Merv in 916/1511 and particularly under Abu'l Chāzī Iskandar Bahādur Khān (968-99x/1560-1583), the nominal ruler of the empire extending from Harat to Kashghar, the real ruler being 'Abd Allah Bahadur Khan, whose praises are sung in a section called در منقب عالى كو يد (p. 3-7), with proper emphasis on the former's mystical tendencies. The qualities of head and heart of this ruler have already been discussed earlier (vide pp. 36-38 of the Introduction). Nithari pays the following tribute to the propitious time under his rule thus:--

Then there is the traditional tour de force on the definition of poetry and its place in society. Our author has before him what the previous anthologists of poetry, especially his immediate predecessors, Daulatshah Samarqandi and 'Ali Shir Nawa'i have stated in this regard and how they have rendered service to poetry and benefitted all lovers of poety. He regards the Tadhkira-i-Daulatshahi as:

and speaks of Nawai's Majalis thus:-

Here and now reasons for the compilation of this book are set forth in the section درسبب تألیف کتاب کو یاد (p. 9-11). During the propitious time and in deference to the wishes of his friends to write a supplement to and a follow up of the said Majālis al-Nafā'is, which, in itself, is complementary to the Tadhkir المعاقبة الم

prevented an open rupture between father and son. The son yielded but still this rivalry between father and son had its own repurcussions. nomads penetrated into the region of Tashkent and Samarkand. Before he would punish them severely, 'Abd Allah Khan died in Samarkand in 1006/1598. 'Abd al Mumin could only rule for six months, for he was killed by his own subjects. All the conquests in Khorasan and Khwarazm were lost and in the Ozbeg's own country, the power fell into the hands of another dynasty. Of greater consequence were the results of 'Abd Allah Khān's work in home affairs; administration, especiallay coinage system was remodelled by him; many public works (bridges, carvanserais, wells, etc.,) were completed. Even at the present day, the people ascribe all such monuments, either to Timbr or to 'Abd Allah" (W. Barthold). Details of his life upto 996/1587-8) are recorded in ornate prose by his eulogist, Hāfiz Tanīsh in his Sharafnāma-i-Shāhi (a chronogram for 992/1584) usually called 'Abd Allah-nama.'2 "It was at this juncture (death of 'Abd Allah Khan and the murder of 'Abd-al-Mumin, April, 12 1598) that Shah Abbas was at length able to attack the Uzbeks in force and drive them out of Khurasan which at last enjoyed a period of peace and tranquillity."s

"Soon after Abdullah's death, there was anarchy in Transoxiana. The way was prepared for a change of dynasty. The line of Shaybani, after a rule of nearly a century, gave place to the dynasty of Askarkhan."

"His son Abdul Mumin Khān, 1004/1595 was unable to retain long his seat on the throne. He was murdered, after the invasion of the Kirghiz Chief, Tōkōl, who laid all the country waste, fell even the last opportunity of the house of Sheibani. In the especially long disturbance and civil war that ensued. The candidates who disputed the throne were Veli Muhammad Khān (a remote collateral representative of Sheibani = i.e., the descendant of Jānībeg, the son of Khojoghom, the son of Abu'l Khair) and Beki Mehemed Khān, as latter fell in the battle of Samarcand in 1025/1616, the former founded his dynasty (called the Janids of Astrakhan) which is said to have survived at the time of Ebul Feiz Khān, who in 1740 was compelled to implore Nadīr Shah for peace."

"The Shaibanids reigned till 1597 (correctly 1599 for 'Abd Allāh Khān died in 1006/4598). They were succeeded by a new line of sovereigns called Astrakhanids who maintained their rule for nearly 200 years" until overpowered by Russia.

⁽¹⁾ Vide article on 'Abd Allah Khan, Ency. of Islam, new edn. p. 46.

⁽²⁾ C. A. Storey, A Bibliographical Survey of Persian Literature, Section III, Fasc. 2, p. 374, 5, Luzae, London, p. 63.

⁽³⁾ Browne: History of Persian Literature in Moden Times, p. 105.

⁽⁴⁾ Skrine and Ross. The Heart of Central Asia, p. 192.

⁽⁵⁾ A Vambery: Travels in Central Asia, p. 378.
(6) Lansdell: Russian Central Asia, Vol. II, p. 71.

Khwarzmians. Astarabad was surprised and taken; the Prince of Gillet, an ally of Sultan Murad III, had to take refuge at Constantinople and the frontiers of the empire of the Shaybanids were extended in this direction further than they had ever been before. For the moment Abdullah got possession of a great part of Khorasan including the towns of Meshhad, Sarakhs, Merv, etc., all of which he retained very nearly to his death."

(Skrine and Ross).

"One of the ablest among his successors was Abdullah Khan (b. 1544). He conquered Badakshan, Herat and Meshed afresh. From his efforts in favour of civilisation and commerce, he deserves to be placed at the side of the great soveriegns of Persia, like Shah Abbas. In his time the routes of Bokhara were provided with caravansarais and fine bridges, the way through the deserts with cisterns of water and the ruins of all his construction of this description still bear his name." (Vambery).

"The greatest monarch of this line was Abdullah Khan. He was born in 1533. During his life-time trade relations were proposed by ambassadors sent in 1552 from Bokhara and Samarkhand to John the Terrible. Six years later the English merchant, Master Anthony Jenkinson, landed at Mangishlak on the Caspian and having travelled across the Turkoman desert to Bokhara, retired the following year. To Abdullah Khan is attributed the building of many caravanserais, bridges, cisterns and other works of public utility in Bokhara. The part of the bazar at Bokhara that is now best preserved was built by him in 1582. So was the bridge at Kermineh, besides the one crossed at Karshi. His tomb is near that of Bahauddin, the patron saint of Bokhara, a short distance out of the town. His reign, Vambery says, may with truth be called the last ray of the glory which had at various times surrounded the throne of Transoxiana." (Lansdell)

Though beyond the scope, a word about the final collapse of the Shaibanid dynasty (905-1007/1500-1599) may not be out of place. The striking power of 'Abd Allah was so formidable and threat to Khurasan so great, that even Shah 'Abbas the Great, had to make peace with the Turks on humiliating terms just to fight the Uzbeks. Still he was not able to prevent 'Abd Allah from capturing the holy city of Mashhad and Sabzwar in 1002/1593-4. The last days of 'Abd Allah were unhappy due to a quarrel with his only son, 'Abd al Mumin, the ruler of Baikh since 990/1582, who wanted to become the Chief Khaqan and occupy the same position as his father did in the case of his grand-father, Iskandar Bahadur Khan. The 'Ulema and the Masha'ikh used their great influence and

⁽¹⁾ The Heart of Central Asia, pp. 191-2.

⁽²⁾ Travels in Central Asia, p. 377.

⁽³⁾ Russian Central Asia, Vol. II, London, 1885 p. 70.

proved his power as a ruler in 958/1551; the country had been attacked by Nawrūz Ahmad Khān of Tāshkent (959-963/1551-56) and 'Abd al Latif of Samargand (947-959/1540-51). Iskandar had fled across the Amu. 'Abd Allah assumed his father's duties and successfully repulsed the attack. In the following years 'Abd Aliah tried to extend his possessions westerly in the direction of Bukhārā and south-easterly in the direction of Karshī and Shahri Sabz, at first without permanent success. In 963 (1555-6) he was even obliged to evacuate the lands inherited by his father and fled to In the same year, Dhu'l Qa'da 963/September-Oct., 1556, died his powerful enemy, Nawrūz Ahmad Khān, the Khān of the Ozbegs and lord of Tashkent, since 959/1552. 'Abd Allah immediately re-asserted his supremacy in Karmina and Shahri Sabz and in Radjab 964/May 1557 conquered Bukhārā, since that time his capital. There he had his uncle Pir Muhammad declared as deposed and his weak-minded father proclaimed in 968/1560-61, Khān of all the Ozbegs, in order to rule himself in the latter's name. Only in 991 (1583) after the death of his father, did he accept the vacant throne." (W. Barthold)

"In 964 (1556) 'Abduilah had put an end to the sub-dynasty of Bukhara. In 968/1560 he proclaimed his father in that town as 'Khakan of the World.' In 986 (1578) he similarly abolished the sub-dynasty of Samarkand, which had sprung up during Iskandar's reign at Bokhara. In 991 (1583) on his father's death, he became Khakan.

In imitation of Shaybani Khan and Ubaydullah, who, although practically sovereigns of that country, had actually left the seat of the Khanate to others, the more freely to pursue their military career, Abdullah placed his father Iskandar on the throne and put himself at the head of the army to reconquer the original frontiers of Shaybani's empire. greater part of his life was spent in this enterprise but he was more fortunate in his conquests than any of his predecessors and also contributed more to the restoration of prosperity to the countries of the Oxus' and the Jaxartes...... Under him the frontiers of the Khanate of Bokhara were pushed forward in the north as far beyond the inhabited provinces of In the east not only Ferghana but also Kashgar and Khotan were subdued by the Shaybanids. In the south, an aggressive policy has been purued, on the one hand by the family of Baber and on the other by the Safavis who both coveted the position of Balkh; but the power of the Uzbegs was even greater than in the time of the first Shaybanids. Balkh was fortified, Tokharistan and Badakshan were incorporated with Transoxiana and once more the bright green waters of the Murghab became the frontiers of Turania. In the west, the armies of Abdullah were again victorious in spite of the united opposition of the Iranians and the

⁽I) Encyclopaedia of Islam, new edition, Vol. I, p. 46.

comme son pere et son grandpere." But Nitharl, who was in the service of Iskandar, speaks of him from his own personal experiences and praises him thus:

سكندر تام وخضر الهام و موسى دست وعيسى دم (p. 31)

At the time of the composition of this book (974/1566), 'Abd Allah Khan was ruling on behalf of his father and only on his father's death in 991/1583 did he accept the vacant throne, which he occupied till 1006/1598. To in the sense that اعظم السلاطين في الأفاق in the sense that he ruled over a vast empire wholly recovered, fully restored and virtually extended for him by his son, 'Abd Allah, "the greatest prince of this dynasty" (Barthold). Our author says that the name of Iskandar was read in the Khutha not only in the whole of Mawara al Nahr but in lands extending from the borders of Herat to those Kashghar. If this statement is taken literally and not metaphorically, then we are confronted with another anachronism, for 'Abd Allah, who really ruled in the name of his father, subjugated Balkh in 981 A.H./1573-4, annexed the sub-dynasty of Samarqand in Rabi II 986 A.H./June 1578 A.D. after severe fighting against the insubordinate supporters of the ruling house. Tashkent and the remaining country north of the Syr in 990 A.H. (1582-3) and Farghana in 991/1583. (the year of the death of Iskandar and succession of 'Abd Allah'), conquered Badakshān in the south-east, Khurasan, Gilān and Khwarazm in the west in 1002/1593-4 and laid waste the provinces of Kashghar and Yarkhand about the same time. Further 'Abd Allah Khan's rebellious son, 'Abd al Mümin, was the ruler of Balkh from the end of 990 (1582) who wanted to rule in the same way as his father did in the case of Iskandar.

The author has not recorded any single event of his glorious reign first as a regent and then as a ruler. Here some authorities are cited:-

"'Abd Allāh, son of Iskandar, the greatest Prince of this dynasty, was born in 153z at Āfarīnkent in Miyānkāl (an island between the two arms of the Zaraíshān—the gold sprinkling river). Iskandar was at the time of the birth of the son, lord of Āfarīnkent; later probably after the death of one of his brothers he migrated to Karmina. There 'Abd Allāh first

and died of a wound in the battle of Nasaf (circa 958/1551), p. 63.

⁽¹⁾ E de Zainbaur: Manuel de gencologie, etc., 1927. Hanover p. 270.

⁽²⁾ Perhaps this refers to Rustam Bahādūr Khān, the son of Jānī Beg (vide pp. 47-63), who ruled for some time in Karmina, etc., and built for his spiritual director, Qāsim Shaikh 'Azīzān a Khānqah at Karmina praised by the great traditionist and exegesist Khwaja Işfahānī thus:

Our author mentions one Fadli Naushād as a young living poet who caught the public gaze and acquired quick fame by his qasīda in praise of Sultān Sa'īd Khān in which every first hemistich gives a chronogram for the birth of the ruler and the corresponding second hemistich, the regnal year. Unfortunately an example of it could not be cited. Nithārī quotes his chahar bahri and his own reply thereto (p. 488). He was a patron of men of letters and skilled persons whom he rewarded lavishly. The author expressed the hope that his benefactions would multiply.

(2) Jawānmard 'Alī Khān b. Abū Sa'īd Khān, brother of the aforesaid Sulţān Sa'īd Khān poetically called Kohkan (pp. 85-7). Like the former he is also mentioned under living fulers. In history he is regarded as the last ruler of the sub-dynasty of Samarqand (980-986) which was put an end to by 'Abd Allāh Bahādūr Khān. This is another serious anachronism for the account of the book said to have been completed in 974/1566 goes further. Our author speaks of him as a gallant young man. He was a patron of the literati, sincerely devoted to saintly persons, especially Shaikh Khudāidad, the spiritual adviser of his father, with whom also he maintained happy relations. He liked the company of the learned. He was an adept in the art of writing riddles (learned) and excelled many poets in writing chronograms.

تحصیلش در فن معما بروجه تکیل بوده و تحلیل ترکیبات مشکله را تسمیل ثمود. و درگفتن تاریخ از شعراه کوی فصاحت بچوگان بلاغت می ربوده (p. 96). By way of illustration, examples of each are given (pp. 86-87). 'He is repeatedly mentioned as the patron of men of letters and skilled persons.

مربی فضلااست (p. 85) طبع لطیف سلطنت پناهی بفضلامیل تمام دار د (p. 86) از الطاف عمیمش عموم فضلاء را بهر و مند ساحته اهل هغر را احتر ام می نماید (p. 86)

Certain statements of Nithārī in respect of the two Khāqāns, Iskandar Bahadur Khan and 'Abd Allāh Khan require further elucidation in the light of history. According to Barthold, "The father (Iskandar), grandfather (Jani Beg) and great grandfather (Khw. Muḥammad or Khojoghōm, the son of Abu'l Khair) of this ruler of genius ('Abd Allāh Khān) are all described as very ordinary, almost stupid men." Zainbaur takes the cue from Barthold and remarks about Iskandar thus: 'Un imbecile.

⁽¹⁾ W. Barthold, vide article on 'Abd Allah Khan, Ency., of Islam. Vol. I, p. 1913. reproduced verbatim in the new edition, Vol. I, p. 25.

Before discussing the role of 'Abd Allah Bahadur Khan, let us deal with the following two members of the ruling dynasty as stated by Nithari:-

(1) Abu'l Fath Sultān Sa'id Khān b. Abū Sa'id Khān b. Kuchunji Khān b. Abu'l Khair (pp. 81-85). It is to be noted that our author mentions him under "rulers who are still ascendant on the throne," when in history books, he is the ruler of the sub-dynasty of Samarqand from 975 A.H. to 980 A.H.¹ This appears to be an anachronism for the author completed his book in 974 A.H. He speaks of this ruler as follows:

بادشا هیست نیکو شمائل و خصائل و طبع لطیفش به عدالت مایل اهل سمر تمند از تمرهٔ شجرهٔ التفاتش محظوظ اند و خواص و عوام در کنف حمایتش محفوظ (p. 81)

He was the sincere believer of Hazrath-i-īshān. His spiritual guide was Shaikh Ḥusain Khwārazmī, whose necrology is given on pp. 81-84. This Shaikh died while returning from hajj in Shām. It is related that, when his spiritual adviser dug a canal in a village called Shatri, the ruler helped him by hewing down huge massive stones. The Shaikh was mighty pleased and called him 'Our hewer of stones.' The ruler adopted this appellation "Kohkan" as his pen-name. Specimens of his poetry are given (pp. 84-5). The Shaikh dissuaded him from calling on him frequently as this would stand in his administrative work. Kohkan versified this remonstrance in a piece of poem, the last couplet of which runs thus:

شعرین دهنا خاطر خو د رنجه مفر ما هر لحظه به کوی نو اگر کو هکی آید It is said that this whole poem was set to music and this musical score was the rage of the assemblage in his days.

مصنفان در صورت دلکش باین ایئت ترخم می نمایند و به نعمات ملایم دل می ربایند (۲۰.85)

⁽¹⁾ Lanepole: Muhammadan Dynasties, p. 272; E de Zainbaur: Manuel de Geneologie de Chomonologie pour l'Historie de l'Islam, etc., 1927-Hanovre, p. 270.

^{(2) &}quot;The Persian pronoun third person plural is used in Turkestän in the meaning of Shaikh, murshid, ustädh, pir teacer, guide in contrast to murid, adherent, pupil; the celebrated Khodja Ahrär (d. 895=1490 in Samarquad) is always called Ishān in biography. For details article on ishān by W. Barthold Ency. of Islam, Vol. II, p. 533.

⁽³⁾ For further poems of "Kohkan" of., Nata's al Ma'āthir, Aligarh MS. f. 163ab.

It is said that Shaikh Jalal, already mentioned above, as the spiritual director of Abu'l Chāzi 'Abd al Aziz Khān (947-956/1540-1549) related to Nithārī the incident of trees bowing before Shaikh 'Imād al Dīn Fadi Allāh b. Khwājā 'Alā al Dīn 'Alī b. Khwājā Kamāl al Dīn Ni'mat Allāh Buzdashabādi al Tūsi, one of the great spiritual successors of Shaikh Hājī Muḥammad Khabushānī. When the author communicated this information to the ruler, Iskandar Bahādur Khān observed:

He maintained religious institutions and he was of an extremely generous disposition. He always enjoyed the company of savants and saints to their mutual advantage and ever tried to give solace and comfort to them.

He never made a promise which he did not fulfil. He was punctilious in the observances of religious matters. He promoted peace and prosperity among his subjects who were spread over his vast empire extending from Māwarā al Nahr to Harat and even far flung Kāshghar. (p. 6)

Above all Bukhārā, his capital city, flourished under him in a remarkable manner.

The author ends this section with the avowed hope and sincere prayer for the continuance of this just and benevolent rule.

As for 'Abd Allah Khan, his second dedicatee, Nithari in his section من عالم المنابع المنابع أليف كتاب كو بد just remembers him with a long imposing list of his full royal titles (p. 10) and ardently hopes that his book will receive his royal approbation.

The author has not recorded a single event of his glorious reign. He has not even mentioned how these two rulers were related to one another. He presumes that the reader knows that they were both father and son, the former as de jure and the latter as de facto ruler.

yasak, already referred to above, namely, division of the empire into a number of appanages among the several members of the ruling dynasty; election of the eldest as Khāqān, who usually assumed leadership of the rest of the rulers in their own right; the appointment of the heir apparent, Kaigha, among them, who, in his turn, became the Khāqān and the Prince, who was elected Khāqān, always retained his hereditary principality and usually lived in his former capital and naturally paid more attention to the town he resided in than the official capital of the kingdom and the 'noble' Bukhārā, taking rank with other towns, Samarqand, Tāshkhent and Balkh as the headquarters of successive chiefs. Moreover, a reference to the history of this period explains in unmistakable terms this rather ticklish problem of dedication as well as the other intriguing situation, namely our author's studied silence, while dealing with other members of the ruling dynasty, because their relations are a somewhat tangled story.

Nithārī gives the following details about Iskandar Bahādur Khān,¹ the first dedicatee in a section called درمانيت عالى (p. 3). He is at his best in his account of this ruler, his real patron, which is written in an elegant manner and in a felicitous sytle. Making all allowances for the usual exaggeration and hyperbole on such occasions as his enumeration of long titles of this ruler under whom he was employed and whose patronage he sought for, the impression given is that the ruler was a mystical person, mad after spiritual powers rather than temporal, and that he was a devout follower of the Naqshhandī order. He began to tread upon the path of self-abnegation even from his young age, with an ever increasing constancy of purpose; that his kingship did not stand in the way of his spiritual journey.

در زمان شباب که محل شتاب نفس است به مشهتیات طبیعی بمضمون حقیقه الاسلام ذبح النفش بسیوف الحالفه عمل نموده تونهٔ متابعت سلسلهٔ شریفهٔ خواجگان قدس آنه ارواحهم که اقرب طرق است نسبت به وی مشرف گشته باوجود راح روح روی افزای سلطنت بی هوش نمی زده اندوپای اقامت در سالك استقامت نهاده ملتعت ناشد. نظر از قدم نمی داشته در كثرت شغل سلطنت هیچ مشغله را و زاحم مسئله توحید نشناخته بوحدت وجود پرداخته و علم خلوت در انجس می افراشته اند بیت :

الحق بحر ایدیت دانت میورت کثرت صدف ساحلش (p. 4-5)

(1) Vide W. Barthold's article on Iskandar Khān. Ency. of Islam, Vol. III, p. 535. According to Abu'l Ghāzī (ed. Desmaisons, p. 84), the Khān had only two good qualities: he observed with painful exactitude all prescribed (tarīḍa) and recommended (nāfila) prayers and was unrivalled in his skill in falenory.

Shavval to Khorezm where I met Dost Md. Khan, the ruler of Khorezm and his brother Ash Sultan, both sons of Budjuga. Their rival to the throne was Hadjim Khan who conquered both in turn and put them to death. I visited the graves of Najmuddin Kubra, Shaikh Ali Rametin, Molla Hussain Khorezmi, the expounder of the Koran. (He is apparently different from Shaikh Husain Khwarazmi, the spiritual director of Sultan Sa'id Khān b. Abū Sa'id Khān (pp. 81-84) who, accompanied by his son, Shaikh Sharaf at Din Husain performed the hajj but, while returning, he died in Shām and was buried there, whereupon his son, on reaching Samarkand, succeeded his father as the spiritual director, and compiled his chronogram 970 حادة العاشقين chronogram 970 A.H/1562). Here I heard that the holy Sheikh Abdul Latif, my spiritual adviser, died in the city of Viezir. I at once made pilgrimage to his grave and cooked a pilat (a rice dish) and wrote a chronogram in commemoration of his death." This is no other than Shaikh 'Abd al Latif Jami, the son of Shaikh Suff 'Ali, the chief successor and son-in-law of Shaikh Haif Muḥammad and a descendant of Shaikh Aḥmad i Jām, discussed by Nithari as one whom he had seen (pp. 364-368). He went on pilgrimage to Ḥijāz, visited the Sultan of Rum (Suleiman Qanuni, "the Magnificent") and accompanied him in his military expeditions. The Sultan of Rüm showered honours on him and heaped wealth before him which he gave away with own sacred hands.

On return from his travels, he died in Khwārazm and was buried in the city of Wazīr, near his spiritual master (p. 368). Obviously Sīdī 'Alī Reis became his murid when he came in contact with him in Rūm.

Iskandar Bahadur Khan and 'Abd Allah Khan

Now we come to the reigns of Iskandar Bahādur Khān (968-991/1560-1583) and 'Abd Allāh Khān the Second (991-1006/1583-1598) as Khāqāns with Bukhārā as their capital. It may be noted that Nithārī dedicated his book, Mudhakhir-i-Aḥbāb (chronogram for 974 A.H./1566 A.D.) to both these rulers. On the face of it, it is peculiar and contrary to our accepted notions of dedication that two contemporaneous rulers should be dedicatees for one and the same book. This otherwise ticklish problem is partly solved when we peep into the administrative set up of the Shaibanids, which was essentially based upon the Chingīzī custom, the tūrā and the

⁽¹⁾ The town of Wazīr in Khwārazm was founded by Khān Muṣṭafā, the overlord of Uthmān b. Muḥammad Ṣūfī, a member of the native dynasty of Ṣūfī (which ruled over Khwārazm) about 868/1464.

Transoxiana, Khorasan, Kharezm, Deshtal Kiptchak, then by Meshhad, and the two Iraks, Kazwin and Hamadan to Bagdad." Among the many achievements of Suleiman, the Magnificent (1520-1566), whose 400th death anniversary is being celebrated this year by the United Nations Organisation, is the great naval activity of the Turkish fleet especially in the Mediterranean under Khair al Din Barabossa and in the Red Sea and Indian Ocean under Suleiman Pasha. But Sidi Reis has gone down in naval history along with his colleague Pīrī Reis for his expedition and adventures in the Indian Ocean. Here some of the experiences of Sidi Reis in Transoxiana bearing upon the period under discussion are set forth.1 "At the time of my arrival, Abdul Latif Khan, the ruler of Samarkand was dead (d. 959 = 1551) and Borak Khan had taken his place (correctly Nawruz Ahmad Khan), the same Borak Khan to whom His Majesty the Padishah = Suleiman the Magnificent (926-974/1520-1566) had sent cannons and guns through Shaikh Abdul Latif (the Dubash)..... Pir Md. Khan in Balkh and Burhan Seid Khan in Bokhara declared their independence and Borak's first business was to settle this matter with Burhan Khan, an uncle of Obeidaliah (correctly he was a grandson of 'Ubaidallah') who reigned only for a short time and died in 964/1556) When Borak Khan entered Samarkand (after its capture) he communicated to me all this, (Sultan Suleiman's despatch of Janisarries under Ahmad Tchaush from Constantinople to Samarkand to support the authority of the Eastern Turks); he added 'I am now a hair before his Majesty the Sultan of Turkey, for I can do nothing (and wanted my help to oust Seid Burhan completely out of power). He decided to send Sadri Alam, a descendant of Ahmad Jesewi (Yesawi, founder of the Yesawi branch of the Nagshbandi order) with a letter expressing his loyalty to the Sultan.... In Samarkand I visited the graves of some important persons.....among them of Kazi zadeh of Rum. Borak Khan apologised to me, for his son, Knorezm Shah, (correctly Khw Sultana son of Nawrūz Ahmad Khan) made peace with Seid Buthan through the medium of the Ghidjiwani Abdul Sultan. Thus peace and security were once more restored.... ... When I stayed in Bokhara, Seid Burhan gave me a banquet and visited me every day for a fortnight. Here I visited the graves of Bahauddin Nakshbandi, Seid Mir Kalai (the spiritual head of Bahauddin, etc.

⁽¹⁾ Vide p. 68 et seq. Mir'ät al Mamilik (Mirror of Kingdoms) of the gailant Turkish Admiral Sidi Ali Reis - translated by A. Vamberv, London Luzac & Co 1809 & Ency. of Islam article Saleiman, 1, p. 525.

⁽²⁾ Qādi zāda kūmī was one of the famous astronomers who helped Ulügh Beg in the construction of his world tamons Observatory - Mudhakkirī Aḥbāb, p. 8.

⁽³⁾ S. Lanepole - Muhammadan Dynasties, p. 270.

According to Nithari, he died of a wound in the battle of Nasaf at the age of 54 with the following lines on his lips:-

آن کو مها بفضل تن و علمل و جان بداد زان بیش کاید از من بیچار و بندگی با آنکه جزگناه نسکر دم دمی مرا بی نسمی نمیاند در ایسام بندگی شاید که لطف بازنگیرد بوقت سرک هنگام بی کسی و زمان فگندگی (pp. 62-3).

Nithārī does not give the date of his death. Obviously this refers to the valiant resistance of the citadel of Karshī when attacked by 'Abd Allāh Khān of Bukhārā in 955/1558. Naṣaf is a town near Bukhārā (ancient Nakhshab where an artificial moon was made to rise and set as the term Māh-i-Nakhshab denotes) near Karshī, the summer encampment of the Mongols who erected palaces.² Karshī = (Turkish) places. He lies buried near the bridge of Shaburghān besides his spiritual master, Shams Khalaf (p-63).

NAWRUZ AHMAD KHAN AND PIR MUHAMMAD:

'Abd al Laţif Khān (947-959/1540-1551) was succeeded by Nawrūz Aḥmad Khān, the son of Suyunij Khān as the Khāqān with Tāshqand (also known at that time as Tāshkent) as his capital. He ruled for 4 years (959-963/1551-1555) followed by Pīr Muḥammad, the son of Jānī Beg, the ruler of Balkh, as the Chief Khān from 963-968/1555-1560. Their contemporary was Burhān Sulţān (961-964/1553-1556), the ruler of the subdynasty of Bukhārā. Nithārī is reticent about them apparently because insubordination among the ruling class started soon after the death of 'Abd al Laţif Khān and a clear evidence of this is found when we read the travels of Sidi 'Ali Reis, the Turkish admiral, who entered Transoxiana in Rajab 963/1555 and left it in Shawwāl of the same year. To quote A. Vambery:

"The incidents connected with his peign (Nawrūz Aḥmad Khān) are more valuable to us, as we find no mention of them elsewhere."

It may be observed in passim that in 960/1552 Sidi 'Ali Reis (= Sidi Reis) was appointed an admiral of the Egyptian fleet to avenge the terrible defeat by the Portuguese. He started from the court of the Sultan of Turkey at Aleppo in Ramadan 960 (1552) and travelled through "Gujrat, Hind, Sind, Balkh, Zabulistan, Bedakshan, Kotlan, Turan and Iran, through

⁽¹⁾ Vide Barthold article on 'Iskandar Khān.' Ency. Islam, Vol. III, p. 535. He died on Wednesday 1st Jumada II, 991 (22nd June 1583). "Pädishah-i-darwishän" Prince of dervishes is the chronogram of his death.

⁽²⁾ V. Minorsky article on 'Nasaf.' Ency. of Islam, Vol. III, p. 840.

شرف کر درد با و جود نسبت سیادت طاهر ، وسلطنت ظاهر ، بمضمون الطریقة کلما آداب عمل نمود ، هر کما مولانا نعلین را از پامی کشید ، آن را کرفته درصف نعال هر با استاد ، بزبان حال ، ترتم این مقال بود ، بیت :

اکر بصدر جلالم بر تد سر نفر ازم اکر بصف نعالم کنند عار تدا رم (p. 51-2)

and on his death under (2) Qāsim Shaikh 'Azizān (alive at Soghd in 974 A.H.)

بعد از وفات مولانا با اعز و عاديم عظام ملاقات کر ده بافاده و استفاده مشغول
کشته مدرو بشان زمان مصاحبت می تمود به تخصیص مرشد زمان و مقتدای
دو ران قاسم شیخ عزیزان سلمه اقد الح (p. 52)

for whom a Khanqah was built at Kirmine. خاتاً نطب الزمان is the chronogram 966/1558. It was personally visited by our author. He drank deep at the fount of mysticism and no wonder that he progressed well therein. Soon he began to show extraordinary spicitual powers. One such personal observation of the author is recorded:

وازخان مذکورمکاشفات ظاهر می شد ـ روزی باستراحت مشغول بود و این نقیر پیش ایشان نشسته محرمانه سخن می کفت در اثنای تکلم چشم پوشید و فقیر را عودگی دست داد ان بیت بخاطر خطو رکرد بیت :

قبول خاطر اندر دست کس نیست

بمقبولي كسي رادست رس نيست

حرفی که تجدید اختیار نمو دند و مقبول مزاج نیفتاد اکر به یکی از ملا زمان که مناسب باشد بدهند بعید تخواهد بو د بازگفته شد که مزاج پی انقلاب نیست معلوم نیست که باین مقید شود خان مد کو ر از نوم به یقظه مشغول کشته فرمودند که مردم طور سخنان بخاطر می رسانید اگر اطهار آن بکسیم می باید داد و اگر بدهیم بی قبحی نیست و گر اظهار ذکمنیم می کو یند که رستم دیو آنه است (Pp. 59-60) بی قبحی نیست و گر اظهار ذکمنیم می کو یند که رستم دیو آنه است (Pp. 59-60)

وعلم تصوف را ایکوورزیامه بود (p. 62)

He was no mean poet of Persian and Turki and his later day poems are saturated with high mystical thoughts.

سخمانش چاشنی باند داشت (p. 02)

گرز رستم بوزن شش من بود _ گوٹیا قلعهٔ دماون بود از برای غزاه رهبر شد از برای غزاه رهبر شد از برای غزاه رهبر شد

The following lines of Nithari are inscribed on it :-

شاه رستم چون بگیرد پیل پیکر را بد ست پست سازد روز میدان پیکر پیلان مست برسر هر کس که آمد برسر آمد روزگار هرکرا زد یو کمر دیگر کمر هرکزنه بست

His sword and bow are praised respectively by our author thus :-

. جای نهنگ بحر و عجب این که تیغ تو 👚 بحر است و می کنند نهنگان از و فر ار 🗈

چون کمان رستم ثانی در بن عالم کم است کر بود قوس قزح آن هم کمان رستم است . " *Raman-i-Rustam*" is another word for the rainbow.

He was entrusted with the governance of such places like Soghd and Balkh but, due to his mystical tendencies and, by virtue of his family connection with the Sayyid family of Badakshān, he relinquished it and spent most of his time happily, doing spiritual exercises under the direction of such eminent spiritual guides as (1) Maulānā Zain Salaf suggested, on royal request by Nithārī and duly recommended by Nithārī's father.

باوجود آنکه همگی سفدیخان رستم نشان میسر شده ترك آن کرده درکمال ریاضت قعیش می تمود و بعد ازان سلطنت بلخ میسر شد نقیر ملازم موکب همایونش بارکه عالی در آمد سریر شاهی ملتفت نگشت و چون ابراهیم ادهم از دورگذشت

باوجود آنکه در برم شباب از جام سلطنت شرب مدام می نمود درعالم ملك بی هوش دم نمی زد... بعد ازان خان مذکور بشرف متابعت و مبایعت مولانا

⁽¹⁾ These lines are quoted in Nafaisal Ma'āthir under Nithārī Bukhārī.

course with the metropolis being maintained by means of some 500 asses plying for hire "1 (Vambery).

The following poets flourished under 'Abd al Aziz Khān:-

(t) Khw. Rukn at Din Mihna, poetically called Athim, of When the ruler went to Balkh with an army, he wrote the following chronogram:

Obviously this refers to insurgence of Pir Muhammad Khān in Balkh who subsequently declared independence.

(2) Maulānā Shaidā (pp. 348-50). He was one of the relatives of Maulānā Qiyām al Din Baikhī who was an eminent scholar, famous for his teaching of exact sciences and study of figh and exegesis, a teacher of Nithārī also in astronomy and astrology:-

But Shaidā was a popular poet of long standing and was for some time in the service of Babur. He was well known for his ex tempore performances. His poems, like his lace, evoked laughter. Once 'Abd al 'Azīz Khān wanted to teach Mullā Baqā'i a lesson, who instead of taming the shrew, his nagging wife, vented his spiece on fellow-poets. The ruler arranged a mushā'ira and he himself initiated it with the following line:

Many poets participated in it. At one stage Shaida's lines on Baqai's wife threw the whole gathering in laughter. Daqa'i was floored.

RUSTAM BAHA UR KHAN

His full name is Abu'i Muzattar Rustam Bahādur Khān b. Jānī Beg Sniţān b. Khojoghom Suiţān b. Abu'i Khair Khān (pp. 47-63). He was the junior contemporary of both 'Abd al Laţīf Khān and 'Abd al 'Azīz Khān and a minor ruler. Nithārī relates of him from personal knowledge. He was in his court. His brother, 'Abd al Salām b. Khwājā Pāshā was Shaikh al Islām under him (p. 515). He was known for his physical provess. The Khān has written a verse about hunself thus:

He was fond of wielding maces,

⁽¹⁾ Vide his Travels in Central Asia, p. 364-





Durbar Scene of Sultan 'Abdul 'Azīz Khān of Bukhārā (947-957, 1540-1550). Reproduced by the kind permission of the Director, Salarjung Museum and Library Hyderabad from a rare Persian MS. Raudatal Muhibbîn—A Nm 1116 No. 938 Jade Room, Copied in 950 A.H. by Mirak Kitabdar (Librarian) for the Royal Library. The same Scribe copied the Mudhakkir-i-Aḥbāb in 980 A.H. the oldest copy in the world preserved in the 'Aligarh Muslim University.

مذهبان ومضورانش تذهیب و تصویر را بدرجهٔ کمال رسانیده بسر موی روی می آر استندکه در چهره کشای سرموی نقصان نداشت و هرکهکه اسپ جادو می ساختند کویا سهر می پرداختند ـ هریك در فن خویش تانی مانی و بهزاد زاده بودند (80-79-79)

The custodian of the Imperial Library was Sulțān Mīrak Munshi about whom Nithārī records thus:

کتاب دار فضایل مآب مولانا سلطان میرك منشی بود که علمی وعملی خط و نقاشی راچنان ورزید. بودکه وصفش به تحریر نمیکنجد نظم :

وصف تحریر وشرح الاهیبش

ِ هست بیرون زحیز تقریر واین بیت را نقش رنگین خود ساخته بود

سلطهان میرك زلطف باری هم منشی و هم كتابداری (p. 80)

According to Nithārī, the Khān died on Wednesday—26th Rabi' II 956 A.H. at the age of 41 but the chronogram of Amīr Sayyid Mīrak quoted by him: حيف از عبد العزز خان gives 958 as the date of his death. He was buried beside his father in the Khānqāh of Khw. Bahā al Dīn Naqshbandī (now a village called Bevedin two leagues from Bukhārā) "whither pligrimages are made from the most remote parts of Bukhārā, where it was the practice of Bukhārā to go thither every week, inter

^{(1) &#}x27;Alā al Daulā Kāmī Qazwīnī, who draws largely upon the Mudhakkir-i-Aḥbāb, states in his Nafāis al Ma'āthir thus:-

كتابخانة او مشهور است كه درزمان او در ربع مسكون مثل آن نبوده و الحق كسى راكه كاتب نادرة زمان و اعجو بة دوران ملك الكتاب مولانا مير على بوده چنين بود على الخصوص كه مى كويند كه كتابخانة بادشاء منصور ساطان حسين ميرزا بدست او افتاده بود شعر:

بهتر زکتاب در جهان یاری نیست در نحمکده زمانهٔ نحمخواری نیست هر لحظه از و بگوشهٔ تنهائی صدراحت عست هرکز آزاری نیست و خیر جلیس فی انزمان الکتاب (ق ۱۲۰ الف وب تمیر ۱۲۰/۱۰ سبحان الله علی کژه) محررهٔ سنه ۱۸۰۵

The ruler was a great patron of savants and poets and was of a very generous disposition. He was good at naskh. He was of a poetic temperament. He wrote excellent poetry under the pen-name 'Azizi.' His two complete ghazals, one in Persian and another in Turki, written in reply to those of Kamran Padishah (d), son of Babur, are reproduced (pp. 75-78).

It is stated that, in spite of many political disturbances, (obviously insubordination among supporters of the ruling class), he paid attention to architecture. He enriched Bukhārā with some magnificent buildings, especially the Khānqāh and the Khatīra of Khwājai Buzürgwār, namely khw Bahā al Dīn Naqshbandī (now a village called Bavedin).

Nitharf has contributed one quaida in its praise the opening line of which is

and also a nice chronogram

This ruler was a great lover of books. He is to be ranked next to Baysonghor, son of Shah Rukh and grandson of Timur, one of the greatest bibliophiles of Persia, may of the whole Muslim world, who died at the age of thirty-six in 837-1433, having tounded a library in which forty copyists, pupils of Mir 'Ali, the inventor of the mastalliq, were appointed to copy manuscripts, and given to the world a critical edition of the Shahnama of Firdawsi with a preface. Obviously under his influence, this ruler also founded a library which is described by Nithäri thus:

کتاب خانهٔ داشت که معلوم نیست که در ربع مسکون در آن و قت مثل اوبود باشد و ملك الکتابش میر علی حسینی بود که کتابت نستخلیق را بی ادل می نمود و ریحان خطش غبار از چشم بیننده می زدود و باقی کتاب بخط صافی آبحیات در ظلمات جاری کردند (۱۰. 79)

He was not himself an artist, a designer and an illuminator of books, like Baysonghor but he patronised craftsmen of books.

⁽t) Vide his travels (p. 78).

who was the chief Khān at Samarqand. Nithāri says that he was in his service for some time, especially as Sadar under him.

مدثی فقیر در ملاز مت آن سلطنت پناهی می بود و هرگز بخلاف ادب چیزی از ایشان در نظر نمی تمود

This fact is borne out in the incident of the gift horse, with a defect in the eye, by 'Abd al 'Azīz Khān under the chieftainship of 'Abd al Latif, to the saintly person. 'Abd al Ḥaq, the patron saint of Kāmrān Pādishāh, on his visit to Bukhārā, the ...rage of the saint and the role of Nithārī, etc., (pp. 108, 9). In spiritualism he was the disciple of Shaikh Jalāl (d. 961-1554), who was the disciple of Maulanā Shams al Dīn Muḥammad Rūḥī, the maternal grandson of Jāmī.² The Khān acquired religious knowledge from such an eminent person like Nāṣir al Dīn Muḥammad b. Qiwām al Dīn 'Abdallāh (84 years and alive in 974/1567).

خدمت ملا به هشتاد و چهار رسیده و باوجود کبرسن و کثرت امراض درس بردوام میگویند و خواص و عوام از خوان فائده آفادهٔ پر فائده از ایشان میگردند (p. 72)

His antecedents and attainments are related with special emphasis upon his "chain of handjoining" which goes up to the Prophet Muhammad (p. 72-73). According to the Şufis, the Prophet has indicated the significance of handshaking thus:-

من صافحتی صافحته یوم القیامة و جبت علی شفاعته و کذالك «من صافح عن صافحی الی سبع مراتب صافحتهٔ یوم القیامة و رجبت شفاعته » هذا حدیث معتبر من المشا عن بر من به برای به برای به برای برای به برای به برای به برای به برای به برای برای به برای برای به برای برای به برای برای به برای ب

Not satisfied with this, he had additional spiritual lessons from another Süff of high spiritual pedigree, Amīr Abu'l Baqā (alive aged 60 at the time of completion of the book 974/1567) whose achievements are also related.

نه الحمد كه اكنون در مسند خلافت ايشان متمكن كشته و طالبان را بكسب كال ترغيب نموده سبب فتوحات مى كردند وبسن شريفش به شصت رسيده است أسيد چنانست كه مضاعف كشته ظل ظليل ايشان مستطيل كردد (۹-73-74)

⁽¹⁾ Nithārī says that he died at the age of 70 and was buried in Khayābān. His chronogram of death is ورهبر دين حق زدنيا شد = 961 (p. 71). For particulars of Shaikh Jalal vide pp. 69-71.

⁽²⁾ For additional information on Jāmi not available elsewhere vid pp. 64-69.

The author describes the natural beauty of Samarquad and sheds light on its horticultural and pomological aspect especially the grapes for which it was famous in the world:-

سمرةند در ایام دولت و سلطنتش رشك بلاد شده بود و حدایقش خوشتر از باغ ارم می نمود (p. 42) حدایق و سیح دار د و اثمار بسار و تیکو می شود و خوبی اعنایش به تعریف راست نمی آیدع ذوق این می نشناسی بخدا تا نه چشی (p. 46)

Sidi 'Alī Reis, the Turkish Admural, who visited it in Sha'bān 963/1553 and met Nawrūz Ahmad Khān (not Borak Khān), showers encomiums on Samarqand which he calls it "a perfect paradise." "At the time of my arrival, Abdul Latil Khan, the ruler of Samarqand, was dead (d. 959/1551) and Borak Khan had taken his place."

Nithari remarks that this ruler moved occasionally with poets and boon-companions. Obviously he was a serious minded person with a scientific outlook and shanned the company of those who were given to fulsome praise and cajolery. He wrote poems scarcely; only one verse and that too larki is quoted.

Our author is very particular in furnishing details of the spiritual directors of each rule; of the Shaibanid dynasty, all of whom were followers of the Naqshbandi Order

e.g., Shaibani Khan was the disciple of Khwaja Nizam al Din Mir Muhammad Naqshbandi, the grandson of Khwaja Baha al Din Naqshbandi (p. 18); 'Ubaid Allah Khan of Amir 'Abd Allah Yemeni (pp. 23, 24) but in the case of 'Abd al Latif Khan, even though he speaks of him from personal knowledge and from close quarters, he is silent. It may be presumed that this ruler had spiritual affiliations with the saints of the Naqshbandi Order but was more concerned with exact sciences and not much bothered about matters connected with the high-soaring spirit and the 'bi-nishān' and the 'la-makan,' etc. Date of his death is not recorded. It is 959/1554.²

'Abd al 'Aziz Khan, (947-950/1540-1549)

The son of 'Ubaid Allah Khan (940-946,1533-1539) was the ruler of the sub-dynasty of Bukhara, contemporaneous with 'Abd at Latif Khan,

⁽¹⁾ Travels of Seid Ali Reis, Turkish Admiral translated by A. Vambery p. 69.

⁽²⁾ Ende Zainbaur: Manuel de Genealogie, etc., p. 270.

'ABD AL LATIF KHAN, (947-959/1540-1551)

Here it may be added that 'Ubaid Allāh Khān's immediate predecessor, Abū Sa'id Khān (936-940/1529-1533) and the two rulers in quick succession, 'Abd Allāh Khān the first (946-957/1539-1540) and 'Abd al Laṭīf Khān (947-959/1540-1551) were all sons of Kuchunjī, the Khaqān (916-936/1512-1529), with Samarqand as their capital, while his own son, 'Abd al 'Azīz Khān (947-956/1540-1548) continued to rule from Bukhārā. Niṭhārī is silent about 'Abd Allāh who ruled for a year or so but he gives a very intimate account of 'Abd al Laṭīf Khān and of Samarqand which flourished in his rule based on his own personal experiences thus:-

He was of mild disposition and he eschewed force as far as possible. He encouraged the company of the learned and showed the greatest consideration to the reciters of the Holy Qur'an. His rule was characterised by proper administration of justice. He divided his time and worked according to schedule. He was a strickler and a punctualist. About his marvellous power of memory it is stated:

He was well versed in history. He had fascination for astronomy. As a matter of fact he maintained the famous Observatory of Ulugh Beg killed 853/1449 at Samarqand and improved appreciably the status of the astronomers.

خان کیوان رفعت برحیس خصلت را بواسطهٔ نسبت فرزندی سلطان شمید السخ بیگ کورگان نور الله مرقده با منجمان عطارد فطنت نظر محبی بود و کوکب طالع ایشان بواسطهٔ آن نظر محبت اثر از حضیض مذلت باوج عزت صعود نمود و در ذورهٔ تدویر راحت مستقیم مرثی می شد و از زوال و هبوط مصئون و محفوظ بود (در 45-44)

The ruler promoted sound scholarship and solid research. Consequently Samarqand showed its pristine glory as the seat of learning humming with research activities.

دروعلما وفضلا بسيار بود. اند اكتون نيز دار العلوم است وموالى ابخـــا بيشتر حقيقة أشتغال مي نمايند (45-44 p.

- Allāh Khān, for whom he rendered yeomen service. When Khwārazm was captured, he wrote a congratulatory poem in which he offered a cavalry of thousand men on condition the ruler gave him the fortress of Hazārasp punning on the word 'hazār and 'asp.' He was an expert on the art of composition and drafting of letters. He went to India and died there (pp. 339-340).
- on the accession of 'Ubaid Allah Khan containing the striking chronogram معين الدين عبيد الله بن محود شيباني = 940 A.H. (p. 395).
- (16) Sarwari, son of Hāfiz Mirāthi (already noticed on p. 212). He was the accredited Wāqi'ā-nawis of 'Ubaid Allāh Khān and recipient of several royal favours. He was the cynosure of all eyes, for he had access to the royal harem. He was good at kutaba script (pp. 398-9).
- (17) Shaikh Zakariā, the descendant of Khwāja Aḥmad Yesawi, the founder of the Central Asian branch of the Naqshbandi order. He was held in high esteem by the ruler (p. 402).
- (18) Şāleh, the famous Musti of long standing of Kermine, who combined in his person both scholarship and piety of a high order. He was very popular with the people of Soghd, خان جهانگر =940 A.H. is his interesting chronogram for 'Ubaid Allāh Khān's accession (pp. 470-411).
- (19) Mir Muhammad Bāqir Yemen, the famous son of his more famous father. Amir 'Abd Alläh Yemen', already noticed before. The latter came directly under the spiritual influence of the Khwājai Aḥrār and, in turn, influenced the ruling Khāns. In his young age, both by virtue of eminent family and personal attainments, he attracted the attention of the ruler, who gave him utmost respect and others followed suit. Occusionally he imparted instruction in his own Madrasa founded by his father known as Madrasai-Mir 'Arab. He had a flair for calligraphy. When Nithāri celebrated a certain event, he, on his part, composed a poem in imitation of the na'th-i-muthamman of Khwāja 'Ismat. He wrote under the pen-name Yemen'. His best ghazal is quoted and really it is the best. He was alive at the time of the completion of this tadhkira (972 A.H.) (pp. 418-421).
- (20) Şawtī, the renowned muczzin of 'Ubaid Allāh Khān engaged in the royal mosque of Ark, Bukhārā. He had a craze for collecting poems (p. 446).
- (21) Mīrzā Dost Muḥammad, son of Uthmān, the treasurer, who distinguished himself in the service of his royal master (pp. 451-2).

- Allah Khan heaped favours on him. He laid a garden for the ruler (pp. 284-5). He was of a very generous disposition (pp. 283-6).
- (10) Mîr 'Alī Kātib, the famous calligrapher, who, in the opinion of some of his contemporaries, was superior to Sulţān 'Alī Mashhadi but he truly adjudged himself inferior to his master. He commemorated the accession of 'Ubaid Allāh Khān in a fragment with the chronogram..... (= 940) He was an adept in writing poems containing Izhāri Mudmar. Two examples relating to Bābur are given. In his wake, Nithārī wrote two rubā'is on Bābur in the same strain. He appeared in a dream of Mīrzā Beg Diwān, wazir of Satirān and gave the date of his own death in a chronogram عمر على فرات شده = 951 A.H. He lived for about 80 years. He was buried near the grave of Shaikh al-'Alam in Fatehābād, Bukhāra (pp. 295-297).
- (11) Afsarī, a bilingual poet of Persian and Turkī, of the court of 'Ubaid Allāh Khān, who considered himself as second only to the great Chaghatāi poet 'Alī Shīr Nawā'i (d 906) and wrote poems in Turkī with "Thāni" as his pen-name. His Turkī lines are quoted; among them is a mu'ammā (pp. 300-301).
- (12) Khwāja Nizām al-Dīn 'Abd al-Hādī Pārsā, son of Khwāja Abū Naṣr Thānī, third in descent from the famous mystic, Khwāja Muḥammad Pārsā. He adorned for a long time the post of Shaikh al-Islām of Balkh. On account of his social service, he was extremely popular with all classes of people. When 'Ubaid Allāh Khān returned from Herat, he wrote a complimentary ghazal praising the ruler as "the promoter of religion, defender of faith." etc. (pp. 319-321).
- (13) 'Isām al-Dīn Ibrāhīm, a great scholar and teacher of Arabic, an authority on rhetoric and master of several works on diverse subjects. He spent most of his day in teaching and wrote incessantly in the night. During the composition of his famous exegesis of the Holy Qur'ān, prophets like Adam and Joseph appeared in his dreams and helped him clear his doubts concerning themselves. It is recorded that 'Ubaid Allāh Khān sent his Arabic quatrain to him for his approval; for his complete Arabic ghazal (vide, pp. 38-39). On hispart, the Maulānā wrote a commentary on it, between the short hours of 'Aṣr and Maghrib prayers, in which he gave six hundred and fifty-six meanings for one hemistich only. (pp. 321-323).
- (14) Amīr Nizām al-Dīn Muzaffar. He was for a long time attached to the court of Yāqūb Shāh (d. at the young age of twenty-three years in 898 A.H.). Then he sought the patronage of 'Ubaid

- With kings and the nobility. The ruler was indebted to him to the extent of four thousand tankss. As the amount was not forthcoming, he submitted, by way of a mild reminder, a Persian quatrain written in a very subtle manner playing upon the words farz and quez. The Khan was mightly pleased and he discharged the loan with suitable rewards for his ready wit (pp. 165-167).
 - versed in the art of reciting the Holy Qur'an by seven different methods (قرأت سبعة) Both were calligraphists, the former a specialist in kutaba and the latter in naskh. His verses disclose that the ruler strictly enforced prohibition of wine but the proverbial offender was the custodian of law. He died in the battle of Turcoman (p. 212).
 - (4) Qātīlī, a notable poet known for his ingenuity (p. 224).
 - (5) Mîrzā Malālī, the royal attendant of the Bunun tribe, who was very friendly with the poet Mîrzā Qātil. He died young (p. 232).
 - (6) Mirzā Hindū, the royal attendant of Uzbek nobility. He showed his temerity in writing poems in praise of wine, to which he was apparently addicted, in the face of condign punishment by the law enforcing ruler.
 - (7) Kankabī, the renowned musician and musicologist of Bukhārā. He dedicated his 'Risalai Mūsīqi' to the ruler, not as a formality for the sake of royal patronage but because the dedicatee was himself a great expert in music already noticed before (pp. 27-28). His Persian poem on the theory of music is also quoted. While returning from the pilgrimage to Meshhad Imam Riḍā, the highway robbers killed him (pp. 261-266). Obviously he is the same Kaukabī noticed in the Majālis un Nafāis (p. 100) and the Tuḥfai Sāmī (pp. 136-7) and is definitely other than Ḥasan Sipihri Kaukabī, the musician and author of musical works also noticed elsewhere (p. 318).
 - (8) Maulānā Muḥammad Amīn Zāhid, a great divine and a wonder of his age, for whom 'Ubaid Allāh Khān had the highest regard. Once he invited the attention of the Khān to the high-handedness of the corrupt tax-collectors and obtained relief for the poor sufferers (pp. 278-280).
 - (9) Mirak Sayyid Ghiāth mentioned earlier as the famous horticulturist who laid a beautiful garden in the west of Bukhārā (pp. 37-8.) He was already famous in Herat when he came down to Bukhārā to fight the Qizilbāsh and settled there. 'Ubaid

Here I may add that, due to religious differences, the contemporary historians like Khwandamir, Hasan-i-Rümlü and Iskandar Munshi have depicted him as a diabolical monster without any trace of refinement whatsoever. However, Sām Mīrzā, though on inimical terms, includes him in his tadhkira among Persian poets worth noticing and pays him the following tribute:-

در واقع این عبید الله باوجود آنکه طبع خوب و ذهن مرغوب داشت و در سخاوت
بی مثل و در شجاعت بی بدل بو د باز بدین اخلاق ذبمیه و اطوار لئیمه (عداوت
بذریت حضرت رسول صلعم) مبادرت می نمود و باین همه شعری فر مودند این
مطلع از و ست

دوست ساقی شد دلاجام محبت نوش کن نیست وقت گفتگو دم درکش و بی نوش کن¹

Both Nithari and his father basked under the sunshine of 'Ubaid Allah Khān's favours. A reference is already made to the promotion of his father to the trustceship of the tomb of Khwāja 'Abdallāh Anṣārī in consideration of his services on the occasion of the capitulation of Herat. The author wrote its chronogram which gives the date as well as the month and the year 18th Ṣafar 936 A.H. (pp. 29-30). Then there is a reference to his panegyric poem on 'Ubaid Allāh Khān with the epithet (p. 468), which signifies (r) the upper limit of the date of beginning of his book (completed 972 A.H.) and (2) the early display of his marvellous poetical talents in constructing such a highly artificial and difficult poem like at a very young age. If the said panegyric poem is no other than the one reproduced elsewhere, then we have one of his earliest long poems.

In addition to our author and his father, the following persons flourished under 'Ubaid Allah Khan:-

(1) Khwāja Muḥammad Afdalī, the chief tutor of 'Ubaid Allāh Khān, an expert in astronomy. Probably the ruler learnt astronomy under him, (p. 143).

^{1.} Tuhfai Sāmi Ed. Waḥid Dastgardi, p. 20.

(2) A public garden in the west of Bukhārā laid by the famous horticulturist, Mīrak Saiyyid Ghiyath, which is described in two different places thus:-

که از مضمون کریمه ارم ذات العاد التی لم یخلق مثلها فی البلاد یاد می داد و چون مزاج کون او در فساد دارد صورت عالیها سافلها پذیرفت و باز محکم کل شی بر حع الی اصله باغیان صنع از اده نشو و نما نمو ده اشجارش را از ر شحات محماب لطف در نمو دارد _ امید ست که رشك حدایق بلاد کشته اطالفش از بیشتر بیشتر کر دد (۲.38)

ودر بخارا برای خان باغ بغایت نیکو رسانیده و از جمع ریاحین و از هار و اتمار در آن باغ مز روع ومغروس کر دانید ... آلحق چنان بوستانی بودکه قطعه بود از مهشت برین

روضة ماء نهر ها سلسال دوحة شجع طیرها موزون آن پرازلاله های رنگا رنگ وین پراز میوهای کوناگون واد در سایته درختانش کستر اینده فرش بوقامون (5-284 pp. 284)

(3) Puli Mihtar Qāsim on the river Kohak.

که در روی زمین طاق وشمیره آفاق است (p. 38)

He was an expert in poetics and a remarkable multi-lingual poet. He wrote exquisite poetry under the pen-name "'Uhaidi." His three complete ghazals in Arabic, Persian and Turki are quoted (p. 38-41). He translated Nām-i-Ḥaqq in Turki. He wrote a book on the art of reciting the Holy Qur'an.

درعلم قراء ت تصنیف نافع دارد که حفاظ را عاصم است از خطا

I am inclined to believe that this book was written obviously under the influence of his master Manlana Yar Muḥammad Qarī referred to above, whose Persian work on the same subject is available in manuscript in Hyderabad. He was a great patron of men of letters and crudition. Though

I. I am indebted to Mr. Mir Fazluddin Ali Khan, my student in M.A. Previous (Persian), College of Arts and Commerce, Osmania University, Hyderabad, who has placed at my disposal a manuscript copy of the work in Persian described as tollows:-

Risālai Qawā'idi Qur'ān by Yār Muḥammad b. Khudādād Samarqandī b"×4" ff. 45; 11 lines to a page; written in bold naskh; old brown paper; undated; extent complete; dedicated to Abu'i Ghāzī 'Abd Allāh Bahādur Khān, who ruled in the name of his father Iskandar Bahādur Khān (968-991/1561-1583) and as chief Khān (991-1006/1583-1598).

In hadith, he was the student of Khwaja Maulana ichabasi; the greatest traditionist of his times.1 He studied figh under Maulana Malumod 'Azīzān. He assiduously learnt the seven methods of reciting the Holy Qur'an from the great authority Maulana Yar Muhammad, the author of the popular exegesis of the Holy Qur'an in Turki and several other useful treatises on jurisprudence, etc. He was a good calligraphist; the 30 parts of the Holy Qur'an copied by him in beautiful naskh were read in certain religious assemblies of his day. As for his special attainments in music, our author writes with much flourish and in a suitably adapted language:-

وبعلم موسيقي تمضمون في كل نغمة من نغات الموسيقيه سر من اسر ار ألله نعالى توجهی می فرمود و ضرب و نطقش مو افق بود به نغات جانفزا و بالحان رو ح افزا ترکیبات دفقریب به نوعی می نمود که سازندگان قوانین ایقاع و نوازندگان موازين تاليف باجماع در تحسين آن عمل متفق القول اند (p. 27-8)

Later on the author expresses the wish of the ruler to go on a pilgrimage to Hijāz in a passage also replete with musical terms:-

باوجود آنکه همه سلاطین آرزوی حکومت های داشته اند طبع هما یو نش مهفته قصه صفاحان وعراق می نمود کو یا مقصودش آن بود که دفع مخیانف نمو ده ورَ نَكُولُهُ مِنَاقَهُ طُلْبِ بِسَنَّهُ اوْ رَاءُ رَاسِتُ آهُنَـكُ مُحَازَ كُرُدُهُ دَرِ مَعْــامُ حَسَيْقَ كوجك وفررك عشاق را به نوا رسائيه، و بطوف كعبة معظمه و زيارت مدينة مكرمه شرفعها الله تعظيما وتكريما مشرف كردد جنانكه اين بيت شريفش ناطق این معی است

چکو نه دل نه کشد حانب عر ای مرزا

بدن سبب که بسوی حجاز آهنگ است (pp. 31-2)

The ruler loved passionately the 'noble' Bukhārā and sang its praise thus:-بخارا خوشتر آمد ازتمام شمهرها مارا 🛾 زچشم بدنگه دارد خدا ملك بخارا را (p. 35)

He has gone down in history as a great builder, for such public works as (1) the magnificent building of Madrasai-i-Mir 'Arab founded by his spiritual director Mir 'Abdullāh Yemeni.

که بی مثل واقع شد. و تاریخش نیز مثل اوست کهٔ از مدر سه عبانی منز عرب ر (p. 37) (عاصل می شود (p. 37) (p. 37) (عاصل می شود (p. 37) (عاصل علی غالب علی غالب

Dr. M. Nizamuddin.

gallant fighter. Most of his time was spent in warfare. Details regarding his role in retrieving the position after the death of Shaibanī Khān, fighting the enemy with small forces against heavy odds, re-capturing Bukhārā. killing Najm-i-Thani, the general of Shah Isma'il, the Şafawi and putting Babur to flight out of Central Asia, etc., as given by our author, agree in the main with what is recorded in contemporary Persian history with some additional matter, e.g., (1) the important part played by our author's father in the capitulation of Herat on 18th Safar 936 AH and his consequent promotion to the lucritious post of trusteeship of the tomb of Khwaja 'Abd Allāh Anṣāri at Herat; (2) the poet Hilālī who was alive in 936 AH wrote a fine panegyric poem commemorating this conquest, six opening lines of which are quoted.1 All these royal successes are ascribed to the efficacy of the sacred breath of his preceptor Amīr 'Abdailāh Yemenī of the Naqshbandi Order, regarded as آشوب ترك وشور عجم أتنه عرب In spite of his military pre-occupations for nearly forty years, he spent his time profitably in the acquisition of knowledge. His bent of mind was towards tasawwuf. He expressed lofty ideas in a fascinating way. He composed a Persian rubā'ī (p. 26) on the Waḥdatal Wujūd and submitted the same to Maulana Khwajagi, who set the seal of approval by writing a commentary on it and explaining certain intricacies of this ever-burning mystical

1. Browne's statement that "The poet Holail fell a victim to the Sunni fanaticism of the Uzbegs at Herat in 935/1528-9 (Browne, History of Persian Literature in Modern Times, p. 94) is not correct in the light of Nithari's remarks:-

درهیژ دهم شهر صغر ختم بالحیر و الظفر ایو اب قاعهٔ هوات مفتوح کشتسه سرپر سلطنت آن بلدان مقر دولت خان صاحب قر آن کر دید: قاریخ: در هیژ دهم شهر صفر قتح نمود ۰ تاریخ شدش هؤ دهم شهر صفر (۲۳۹) مولانا هلالی درمد حضرت اعلی قصیده بسه تقریب آن فتح کفته است و مطلعش ایست.

خراسان سینه روی زمین از بهر آن آمد

که حارت آمد در و یعنی عبید اقدخان آمد

سمند تند فرزین نعل او خورشید را ماند که از مشرق به مغرب رفت و یکشب در می**ا**ن آمد

قلم گردر سخن گستاخ شد معذور فرمایش که مسکین را به ضرب تیغ حر**ف** برز_ان آمد (p. 29) In 918 Jani Beg apportioned the various apparages of Transoxiana thus:— "Kuchunji received Samarqand; Suyunik Tashkent and 'Ubaydullah Karakul and Karshi, besides Bokhara which was his by inheritance. Janibeg reserved for himself and his children all the country of Miyan-Kul, Soghd of Samarkand and the town of Kermine, which was his residence."

(Skrine & Ross, p. 191).

"The separate appanages passed from father to son and thus the residence of the Khakan or chief Khan was continually changing from one city to another. Thus Bokhara lost its proud position as the capital of Transoxiana and took its rank with other towns as the headquarters of successive chiefs." (Skrine & Ross, p. 189). Nithārī's epithets for Bukhārā: (p. 6, 12, 32) الملاة عن البلايا are to be understood in the light of the change of residence of the chief Khān. Similarly the term خلد الله ملكة الله المحافظة عن الملاء المحافظة عن الملاء المحافظة المحافظة

There is no mention of patronage of scholars and poets by Abū Mansūr Kūchkūnji (916-937)¹ and Muzaffar al-Din Abū Sa'id b. Kūchkūnji Khān (937-940).² Only when we come to 'Ubaid Allāh Khān (940-946) there is some trace of the resumption of literary and cultural activities, in spite of the fact that his whole life was spent in wars and he ruled for barely six years. The unsettled conditions prevailing in Transoxiana were uncongenial to the promotion of art and culture.

ABU'L GHAZI 'UBAID ALLAH BAHADUR KHAN

The Mudhakkiri-Ahbāb gives the following information about him:-

He was the nephew of Shaibānī Khān. He ascended the throne in 940 A.H. as the author states:-

and not 939 A.H. as some historians aver. Our author's statement is further corroborated by the following chronograms:-

(1) والى ملك عبيد الله خان (p. 296); (2) والى ملك عبيد الله خان (p. 395); (3) معين اللبن عبيد الله خان (p. 411) all of which give the date 940 A.H. He used to accompany his uncle in his military expeditions. He was a

^{1-2.} According to Zainbaur's Manuel de geneologie et de chronologie pourl' histoire de l', Islam Hanovre 1927; p 170 (916-937) and (937-940) respectively.

'Ubaid Allāh Khān had thrown himself at the fort of Chujduwān. Here a fierce but decisive battle took place in 918 A.H.1 between Bābur supported by his Persian allies and 'Ubaid Allāh Khān, the saviour of the Shaibāni empire, which is vividly described by Mirzā Ḥaidar Duglāt;—"Soon the grip of Islam wrenched aside the hands of heresy and unbelief and victory declared for the true faith. The victorious breezes of Islam overturned the barriers of the schismatics.........all the wounds that had been effected by the swords at Karshi were now sewn up by the arrow-stitches of vengeance. They sent Mīr Najm and all the Turkomāns to hell and the emperor (Bābur) returned broken hearted and crest-fallen to Hiṣār." Nithārī refers to this battle thus:—

ودر سال نهصد وهژده غزاة نجم ستاره سوخته بد اختر واقع شده وکوکب طالعش از اوج عزت به حضیض مذات هبوط نموده در آفتاب زوال محترق وبال کشته و درهٔ تاج و هاج ابتهاجش از راس به ذنب منتقل کردید. است بیت: شد زاتش محاربة سنیان باك نجم ستاره سوختهٔ را فضی هلاك ذاك فضل الله یو تیه من یشاء و آله ذو الفضل العظیم و این فتح نیز به همت امیر مد کور (عبید الله عادر خان) بوده (۶۰ مر)

Eventually Babur returned to Kabul and planned an easier conquest of Hindustan. Now Transoxiana was made secure for the Shaibanids, who ruled for nearly 99 years. They divided their realm into a number of apparages; the eldest Sultan usually assumed the leadership of the rest. His name alone was read in the Khutba throughout the empire and coins were struck in his name only. The chief Khān was called the Khāqān and each minor Khān ruled in his apparage but under the sway of the Khāqān.

"After the battle of Ghujduvān in 918/1512, according to their custom, tura and yasak. Shaybam Sultans proceeded to elect their Khakan. Kuchunji Khan as eldest was appointed to the high office, while Suyunik was nominated Kalgha (heir-apparent). The latter died before Kuchunji, whereupon Janibeg became Kalgha. He too predeceased Kuchunji and the title of Kalgha passed to Abu Said Khan, who eventually became Khakan in 936/1529. On his death he was succeeded by Ubaydullah Khan in 939/1533." (Skrine & Ross, p. 189).

Not November, 512 as stated by Browne. History of Persian Literature in Modern Times, p. 66. His other date for the defeat and death of Shaibānī Khan in the decisive battle at Tāhrabād, near Merv, Dec. 1 or 2, 1510 is also definitely wrong (Ibid., p. 65). It is 916 A.H.

- (3) Amīr Qambar 'Alī Qūshjī, one of the grand nobles of Shaibānī Khān, who died fighting later in the battle of Jām during the period of the next ruler, 'Ubaid Allāh Khān (p. 412).
- (4) Amîr Kabîr Jān-i-Wafā'ī-i-Daurān, (father of Shaikh Shuja'-al-Dîn Dost Nî), the ruler of Herāt (?) perhaps governor. He was one of the prominent amīrs of Shaibānī Khān (p. 413-414)."

Successors of Shaibani Khan

The terrible disaster of Merv cast a gloom over Transoxiana, which practically slipped out of the hands of the Uzbeg Sultans. During the two years 916-918 A.H. (1510-1512 A.D.), they were more vitally concerned with protecting themselves rather than choosing a new chief. There is no trace of any coin whatsoever to identify the ruler of a particular Sultan immediately after Shaibānī Khān. The fate of the Uzbegs was hanging in the balance. Babur again made a straight bid to establish his rule over Transoxiana, at first single-handed by defeating the Uzbeg army under Hamza Sultan in 917 A.H. (1511 A.D.) and subsequently with the help of Shāh Ismā'īl. The united forces of Bābur and Shāh Ismā'īl numbering sixty thousand men next marched against Karshi, where 'Ubaid Allah Khan (the nephew of Shaibani Khan) had fortified himself, while most of the Uzbeg Sultans had fied to Samarqand (Skrine and Ross pp. 186). When 'Ubaid Allah abandoned Karshi and fled to Bukhara, Babur pursued him and eventually drove him into the deserts of Turkistan (Tārīkhi Rashīdī, pp. 245). The Uzbeg Sultāns in Samarqand also ran for their lives in different parts of Turkistan. Now Babur was practically the master of Transoxiana. He entered Samarqand, bis home-town, triumphantly amidst national rejoicings. The people welcomed him as the rightful successor to the realms of Timur (Skrine and Ross, pp. 186). Very soon they were disillusioned, for he began to rule over them perceptibly recognising the Sh'ite Shah Isma'il as his suzerain. This was detestable to the orthodox Sunnis. The Uzbeg Sultans exploited the growing popular discontent. They marched out of Turkistan with their forces, the main body heading towards Tashkent and the remainder under Ubaid Allah proceeding to Bukhārā. A fierce battle took place in 918/1512 at Kul Mclik, near Bukhārā in which the small army of 'Ubaid Allah, barely 3,000 completely routed the 40,000 well equipped men of Babur. This was but the beginning of the end of the rule of Babur in Central Asia. He had to leave Samarquid and flee to Ḥiṣār after a rule of eight months. At his request Shāh Ismā'īl sent 60,000 Persians under Amir Yar Ahmad İşfahanı called Najmi Thani "The Second Star" to help Babur, who entered Kashi, massacred the inhabitants and sacked the town. Sununjik Khan (variously written Suyunj, Suyunich, Suyunij, Sunyuk Khān, Sununik Khān (d. before 936AH) one of the chief Uzbeg Sultans, the son of Abu'l Khair, utterly defeated the Khān of Moghulistān in his attempt to take Samarqand.